

Guyyaa 05/10/2018

# Beeksiisa

Dhimmi: Firii Caalbaasii Sagantaa PACT

## Beeksiisu

Caalbaasii ifaa Ijaarsa Projeektoota Sagantaa PACT **Xinxalliin** teeknikaa fi faayiinsii rawwatame Yaada Mormii qabachuu (No Objections) itti Gaafatame deebi'ee Jira.

Haaluma Kanaan Dhabbatni Dorgomtan Firii xinxalii Projeektoota Kanaa haala duuka deemtuu kanaan ilaalame jira waan ta'eef, Firii Xinxalii Kana Irratti Komii Yoo qabatan guyyaa beeksiifni kun bahee kaase guyyaa hojii shan(5) keessatti Komii kessan akka dhiheeffatan beeksiifna..



**Nagaa Wajjin**

**Dammaqach G/Giyoorgiis**  
Direktara Bittaa

## B. Bid Evaluation

### I. Preliminary Evaluation

**Table 4: Preliminary Examination**

**Instructions:** For each bidder, indicate with "Y" (Yes) or "N" (No) if they meet the requirement. A "No" in any of columns should result in a "No" in the final "Accepted for Detailed Tech. Eval.?" column. Use the footnotes to record specific reasons for non-compliance.

#### Lot No: 1-Halega SSIP

S/No	Bidder's Name	Power of Attorney	Letter of Bid	Legality			Bid Security	Site Visit	Social Documents		Completeness	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for detail technical evaluation
				Constr. License	Tax Clearance	VAT Registration			ESMP	Declaration			
1	Darara Guyo WWC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2	Tesema Teshome WWC	NO <sup>1</sup>	NO <sup>2</sup>	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
3	Marara Ofgaha GC	YES	NO <sup>3</sup>	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO <sup>4</sup>	YES	YES	NO	NO
4	Yohanis Lemi GWWC	NO <sup>5</sup>	NO <sup>6</sup>	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO

<sup>1</sup> Power of attorney is declared but not signed even though ITB 14.1 (e) instruct the bidder to sign and authorization

<sup>2</sup> The letter of bid submitted by the bidder is not signed which fails to ITB 14.1

<sup>3</sup> Letter of bid submitted by the bidder is not as IFAD Standard. It is fully changed. But ITB 15.1 instruct the bidder must be completed without any alterations to its text, and no substitutes shall be accepted

<sup>4</sup> Regardless of Must Meet Criteria 5.6, the bidder did not submit clear matrix that show environmental and social impacts and their mitigation measures with responsible body

<sup>5</sup> In power of attorney declaration, the bidder gave power of attorney to sign the project located in Amigna Hetosa Woreda, which is controversial with real project location. There is no woreda named as Amigna Hetosa in Arsi Zone

<sup>6</sup> The bidder changed/alterd the idea of number 7 on letter of bid stating about GCC 37 for performance security, while the stated GCC talks about Test rather than performance security. In addition, the bidder accepted the appointment of adjudicator by the employer and again propose employer itself as option. This controversial and not acceptable.

#### **D. Post-Qualification Assessment**

In accordance with **Section III (Evaluation and Qualification Criteria)** of the Bidding Document, a formal post-qualification verification was conducted for the lowest evaluated and substantially responsive bidder, **None**.

**Confirmation:** It is hereby confirmed that **None of bidder** meets and fulfills all stipulated post-qualification requirements. The bidder has demonstrably proven the necessary financial soundness, technical competence, and operational capacity to successfully perform the contract.

#### **E. Award Recommendation**

The evaluation committee recommends the following:

**1. Rejection of the following bids at the level of preliminary examination:**

- Tesema Teshome WWC
- Marara Ofgaha GC
- Yohanis Lemi GWWC due to reason lists under footnote of table 4

**2. Rejection of the following bids as technically not responsive:**

- Darara Guyo WWC

**3. Accept the following bids as technically responsive:**

- None



**F. Final Endorsement**

Based on the comprehensive evaluation documented in this report, the Evaluation Committee unanimously reaffirms its recommendation to no award the contract for Lot-1: Halega SSIP due to no qualified bidder here and recommended to be rebid.

**Evaluation Committee Endorsement**

The evaluation has been reviewed and endorsed by the appointed committee. All decisions are documented, and the process was conducted in accordance with IFAD procurement guidelines and national bidding procedures.

**Approval Committee's Comment & Suggestion**

*According to technical reality 2A can be rebid*

**Bureau Head's Final Decision**

*To be rebid*

**Bureau Head's Signature**

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
Eng. Alemu Reggasa Ayane  
FOV Bureau Head 9

Date

