


Part B: Bid Evaluation

Table 4: Preliminary Examination - Compliance Checklist

Instructions: For each bidder, indicate with "Y" (Yes) or "N" (No) if they meet the requirement or Not.

Lot 8. Gedamso



Bidder No.	Bidder Name	Authorization & Formalities	Legality (Trade Licence, construction licence)	Bid Security	Site Visit	Env./Social Docs	Completeness of Bid	Letter of bid	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for Detailed Tech. Evaluation
1	Fanta Daba WWGC	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N ¹	N	N
2	GGC GC PLC	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3	Dedefo Tenesho GWWC JV with Shodeb Engineering PLC	Y	Y	Y	Y	N ²	Y	Y	N	N
4	Bersabe Construction	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

¹ Incomplete letter of bid. The bidder failed to fill in all the required blank spaces with the requested information. In accordance with ITB 15.1, the Letter of Bid form must be completed without any alteration to the text and all blank spaces shall be filled in with the information requested.

² The bidder submitted Environmental and Social (ES) documents prepared for another project (Birbiriti SSIP), indicating that the documents were not prepared specifically for the current bid and therefore do not comply with the bidding document requirements

Bidder No.	Bidder Name	Authorization & Formalities	Legality (Trade Licence, construction licence)	Bid Security	Site Visit	Env./Social Docs	Completeness of Bid	Letter of bid	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for Detailed Tech. Evaluation
5	Tokuma Hirpha WWC	Y	Y	Y		N ³	Y	N ⁴	N	N
6	FTC GC	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7	DNA Engineering JV with Feyisa Negule GWWC	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N ⁵	N	N

³ The bidder did not prepare a the assessment of the potential positive and negative environmental and social impacts of the proposed works, nor did it propose appropriate mitigation measures with matrix form. In addition, the assessment was not prepared in the required manner . Therefore, the submission does not comply with Evaluation Criterion 5.6.

⁴ Incomplete letter of bid. The bidder failed to fill in all the required requested information in the letter of bid. In accordance with ITB 15.1, the Letter of Bid form must be completed without any alteration to the text and all blank spaces shall be filled in with the information requested. ITB Clause 14.4 requires the bidder to furnish the name of a potential adjudicator in the Letter of Bid,

⁵ Incomplete letter of bid. The bidder failed to fill in all the required the requested information in the letter of bid. In accordance with ITB 15.1, the Letter of Bid form must be completed without any alteration to the text and all blank spaces shall be filled in with the information requested. ITB Clause 14.4 requires the bidder to furnish the name of a potential adjudicator in the Letter of Bid, and the Employer specified Addis Ababa Chamber of Commerce as the proposed adjudicator under ITB Clause 49.1, but the bidder left the blank space ITB Clause 14.4 requires the bidder to furnish the name of a potential adjudicator in the Letter of Bid. The bidder fill (instead of Adjudicator Addis Ababa chambers of Commerce listed in BDS bidder used West Arsi Court.



[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten mark]

Technical Evaluation Summary

1. EVALUATION OVERVIEW

- Total Bids Received: seven(7)
- Preliminary Examination Passed: 3(Three)
- Technically Qualified: 2(Two)
- Technically Rejected: 1(One)

2. TECHNICALLY QUALIFIED BIDDERS

No.	Bidder Name	Technical Status	Key Strengths	Compliant (Y/N)
1	GGC GC PLC	Fully Compliant	high specific experience, meets the financial requirements, and complete documentation that fulfills the required equipment and manpower criteria	Y
2	FTC GC	Fully Compliant	high specific experience, meets the financial requirements, and complete documentation that fulfills the required equipment and manpower criteria	Y

3. TECHNICALLY REJECTED BIDDERS & REASONS

Bidder Name	Primary Reason for Rejection	Specific Non-Compliance
Bersabe Construction	Turnover, audit report, General Experience, manpower and Equipment	<p>The submitted average annual turnover, calculated from the certified payments provided, is 41.5 million ETB, which does not meet the minimum requirement of 43 million ETB specified in the bidding document.</p> <p>The bidder has only four years of experience in the construction industry, which does not meet the minimum requirement of five years of general experience specified in the bidding document and only 4years audit report</p> <p>The bidder did not submit documentation demonstrating the minimum required personnel experience and the minimum required equipment specified in the bidding document.</p>



Price Evaluation for Technically Qualified Bidders

No.	Bidder Name	Currency(ies) of bid	Submitted/ Read-out bid price(s) with VAT 15%	Arithmetic Correction / Difference	Adjustment (e.g., for non-conformities)	Evaluated bid price with VAT 15%	Rank
1	FTC GC	ETB	93,268,016.26	848,436.81	0.91%	94,698,061.47	1st
2	GGC GC PLC	ETB	168,538,963.35	5,256,615.50	3 %	173,795,578.85	2 nd

Conclusion: Based on the evaluation above, **FTC GC** is the **lowest evaluated substantially responsive bidder** and is hereby recommended for the award of the contract for Lot-8.



Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink.

Recommended Bidder for Award

Final Endorsement: Based on the comprehensive evaluation documented in this report, the Evaluation Committee unanimously **reaffirms its recommendation to award the contract for Lot-8 Gedemso SSIP to FTC GC** for a final corrected total price of **ETB 94,698,061.47** (Ninety-four million, six hundred ninety-eight thousand, sixty-one birr and forty-seven cents).