

B. Bid Evaluation

I. Preliminary Evaluation

Table 4: Preliminary Examination

Instructions: For each bidder, indicate with "Y" (Yes) or "N" (No) if they meet the requirement. A "No" in any of columns should result in a "No" in the final "Accepted for Detailed Tech. Eval.?" column. Use the footnotes to record specific reasons for non-compliance.

Lot No: 6-Yatu-Bomba SSIP

S/No	Bidder's Name	Power of Attorney	Letter of Bid	Legality			Bid Security	Site Visit	Social Documents		Completeness	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for detail technical evaluation
				Constr. License	Tax Clearance	VAT Registration			ESMP	Declaration			
1	GGC General Contractor PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2	Burka Robe Engineering PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
3	Elili GC JV with Wada Engineering PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
4	BH Engineering PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
5	Ysehak Abebe GC	YES	YES	NO ¹	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO ²	YES	YES	NO	NO
6	Dawud Homolo GC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
7	Tinsae Ashok Construction JV with Desalegn Mulugeta WWC&GC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES



- ✓ ¹ The Bidder's Trade License is inactive when checked online using E-trade. According to BDS 14.1 (h), A bidder shall submit with its bid the following additional documents including Legal Qualifications renewed for this year

COMMERCIAL REGISTRATION

Registered No: KK/AA/1/0004102/2005
Tin: 0000029729

Business / Person Name: English
ጸሐቶ አበበ አላባ: YISAK ABEBE ALABA

Legal Condition: Private
Capital: 500000

Registered Date: 9/24/2012

MANAGER

ጸሐቶ አበበ
YISAK ABEBE

Business List

Business License

License No	Trade Name
14/706/785244/2006	YISAK ABEBE ALABA
Capital	Renewal Date
500000	5/2/2019
Valid	Status
7/7/2018 - 7/7/2019	Two years have passed since it was renewed and cannot be renewed
Registered Date	
3/31/2014	

Address

Region	Zone	Sub City/Woreda	Kebele
Addis Ababa	Addis Ababa	Kirkos	08
House No.	Mobile Phone	Regular Phone	
227/ሰ	0115538503	0115538503	

Sectors

Water works Contractor

- ² Even though qualification criteria under 5.6 requirement state “Environmental, social (including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) and gender-based violence (GBV), health and safety plans should be prepared with Matrix which is written by stating what are the social, health and environmental problems in connection with irrigation scheme construction and what are the possible remedies or protective measures to be undertaken should be submitted”, the bidder did not state possible site-specific negative impacts due to construction, with their mitigation measures using a matrix



S/No	Bidder's Name	Power of Attorney	Letter of Bid	Legality			Bid Security	Site Visit	Social Documents		Completeness	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for detail technical evaluation
				Constr. License	Tax Clearance	VAT Registration			ESMP	Declaration			
8	Minaze GC One-member PLC	NO ³	NO ⁴	YES	YES	YES	NO ⁵	YES	NO ⁶	NO ⁷	YES	NO	NO
9	Equatorial Construction PLC JV with Bigeta Business PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
10	Milkessa Bali Robe GC	NO ⁸	NO ⁹	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
11	Barikot Construction	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
12	Fayida Global Engineering and Construction PLC	YES	YES	YS	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
13	ALET Construction	YES	NO ¹⁰	YES	NO ¹¹	YES	YES	YES	NO ¹²	YES	YES	NO	NO
14	Dad Construction and Trading PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

³ Power of attorney declaration is not signed which fails to ITB 14.1 (e), which say “written confirmation authorizing signature....”

⁴ No Letter of Bid as IFAD format which fails to ITB 15.1

⁵ Even though the bid security should remain valid for the period of 28 days beyond original validity period, according to ITB 22 (e), the bidder submitted bid security which is valid for 90 days only (original validity period)

⁶ Site specific environmental and social impacts and possible mitigation measures are not well stated by the bidder with matrix which fails to qualify for qualification criteria 5.6.

The bidder did not submit ES-3 Format which is used to declare about Environmental and Social regardless of ITB 9.4 (“The bidder is expected to examine all **instructions, forms, terms, and works requirements** in this bidding document. **Failure to furnish all information** or documentation required by this bidding document may result in the **rejection of the bid**”)

⁸ Power of Attorney is not signed which fails to ITB 14.1 (e), which say “written confirmation authorizing signature....”

⁹ The bidder did not accept appointed adjudicator by the employee even though, ITB 14.4 state as “The name of the potential **adjudicator** proposed by the employer in **ITB BDS 49.3** and by the bidder (letter of bid) shall be subject to **IFAD’s no-objection**”

¹⁰ Blank Spaces on the letter of bids are not filled (#5, and #19) regardless of ITB 15.1 which say “The **letter of bid and schedules**, including the bills of quantities (or activity schedule) and technical offer information, shall be prepared using the relevant forms furnished in Section IV, Bidding Forms. These forms **must be completed without any alterations to its text, and no substitutes shall be accepted. All blank spaces shall be filled in with the information requested**” and the bidder did not state the acceptance of appointed adjudicator by the employee even though this one of point for IFAD No objection according to ITB 14.4

¹¹ Letter attached for tax clearance was written in 2/03/2018 for the bidder while the bidder requested for letter on 02/04/2018 which is not logical and shows editable letter.

¹² The bidder did not submit identified social and environmental impacts with mitigation measures as matrix which fails to qualification criteria under 5.6

C. Technical Evaluation Summary

1. Evaluation Overview

- Total Bids Received: 14
- Preliminary Examination Passed: 10
- Technically Qualified: 8
- Technically Rejected: 2

2. Technically Qualified Bidders

S/No	Bidder's Name	Technical Status	Key Strengths	Compliant (Y/N)
1	GGC General Contractor PLC	Fully Compliant	Financial Capacity, having equipment and work experience	YES
2	Burka Robe Engineering PLC	Fully Compliant	Having sufficient specific Work Experience and qualified staffs	YES
3	Elili GC JV with Wada Engineering PLC	Fully Compliant	Financial Capacity, having equipment and work experience	YES
4	Equatorial Construction Plc JV with Bigeta Business plc	Fully Compliant	Financial Capacity, having equipment and work experience	YES
5	BH Engineering PLC	Fully Compliant	Has equipment and specific work experience	YES
6	Dawud Homolo GC	Fully Compliant	Financial Capacity, having Machinery and work experience	YES
7	Barikot Construction	Fully Compliant	Has enough Machinery and work experience	YES
8	Dad Construction and Trading PLC	Fully Compliant	Has sufficient financial, experience and machineries	YES

3. Technically Rejected Bidders & Reasons

S/No	Bidder's Name	Primary Reason for Rejection	Specific non-compliance
1	Fayida Global Engineering and Construction	Annual Construction Turnover	The bidder submitted annual construction turnover under required
		Audit Report	Even though the bidder submitted 5 years audit report, he has only one year construction experience. So, the rest four-year report is for another income

36



38

		General Experience	The bidder is licensed in 2025 for construction work, regardless of 5 requirements
2	Tinsae Ashok Construction JV with Desalegn Mulugeta WWC&GC	Audit Report	The partner, Desalegn Mulugeta WWC&GC submitted 2021 audit report, but licensed in 2022. This idea is controversial and not acceptable
		General Experience	When checked E-trade (online data) The partner, Desalegn Mulugeta WWC&GC has only 4 years out of 5 years intended
		Schedule	Submitted mismatch schedule for work, equipment and material
		Work Methodology	Proposed work methodology is not site specific since the bidder state methodology for storage pond, gate and check valves in their description

4. Price Evaluation for Technically Qualified Bidders

S/No	Bidder Name	Currency	Submitted/Read-out bid price(s) with VAT	Arithmetic Correction / Difference	Adjusts (%)	Evaluated bid price with VAT	Rank
1	Burka Robe Engineering PLC	ETB	200,295,308.28	-172.50	0.00%	200,295,480.78	2nd
2	Elili GC JV with Wada Engineering	ETB	207,416,874.64	-2,322,177.04	1.12%	209,739,051.68	4th
3	Equatorial Construction Plc JV with Bigeta Business plc	ETB	170,573,802.28	316.71	0.00%	170,573,485.57	1 st
4	Dawud Homolo GC	ETB	200,070,694.27	-15,089,363.15	7.54%	215,160,057.42	5 th
5	Barkot Construction	ETB	234,436,417.32	34,016,462.87	14.51%	200,419,954.45	3 rd
6	Dad Construction and Trading	ETB	387,648,564.55	153,554,822.53	39.61%	234,093,742.02	6 th
7	GGC General Contractor PLC	ETB	387,648,564.55	-720,762.50	0.19%	388,369,327.05	8 th
8	BH Engineering PLC	ETB	344,859,362.53	0.00	0.00%	344,859,362.53	7 th

For all technically responsive bidders, arithmetic was checked and the rank is given after arithmetic check. This arithmetic correction changes the position of bidders. And finally, the rank after arithmetic check is taken for selection of evaluated least bidder.



H. Final Endorsement

Based on the comprehensive evaluation documented in this report, the Evaluation Committee unanimously reaffirms its recommendation to award the contract for **Lot-6: Yatu-Bomba SSIP to Equatorial Construction Plc JV With Bigeta Business Plc** for a final corrected total price of **ETB 170,573,485.57 (One Hundred Seventy Million Five Hundred Seventy-Three Thousand Four Hundred Eighty-five and 57/100)**.