

B. Bid Evaluation

I. Preliminary Evaluation

Table 4: Preliminary Examination

Instructions: For each bidder, indicate with "Y" (Yes) or "N" (No) if they meet the requirement. A "No" in any of columns should result in a "No" in the final "Accepted for Detailed Tech. Eval.?" column. Use the footnotes to record specific reasons for non-compliance.

Lot No: 10-Waltane SSIP

S/No	Bidder's Name	Power of Attorney	Letter of Bid	Legality			Bid Security	Site Visit	Social Documents		Completeness	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for detail technical evaluation
				Constr. License	Tax Clearance	VAT Registration			ESMP	Declaration			
1	JG3 GC	NO ¹	NO ²	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO ³	YES	YES	NO	NO
2	Amare Fantahun GWWC JV with Addisu Yeshework GWWC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES



power of attorney declaration to show authorized person for signing the bidding document which fails ITB 14.1 (e), which say “written confirmation authorizing signature....

Blank Spaces on letter of bid are not filled regardless of ITB 15.1 (These forms must be completed without any alterations to its text, and no substitutes shall be accepted. All blank spaces shall be filled in with the information requested). The bidder refuses to accepted appointed adjudicator by the employer, even though, the name of Adjudicator proposed by the employer and the bidder subjected to IFAD No-objection according to ITB 14.4. As option the bidder propose the employer itself as adjudicator, which is not logical.

³ The bidder did not formulate possible social and environmental impacts due to the construction with their mitigation measures which fails to must meet criteria under 5.6

S/No	Bidder's Name	Power of Attorney	Letter of Bid	Legality			Bid Security	Site Visit	Social Documents		Completeness	Substantial Responsiveness	Accepted for detail technical evaluation
				Constr. License	Tax Clearance	VAT Registration			ESMP	Declaration			
3	Birhanu Bekele GC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO ⁴	YES	YES	NO	NO
4	Yoya Construction Engineering Plc JV With ESA Construction PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
5	Hydro Silas WWC PLC	YES	NO ⁵	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
6	Satellite Construction PLC JV with SHOODEB Engineering Construction	NO ⁶	NO ⁷	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO ⁸	YES	NO	NO
7	Tadesse Benti WW & GC JV With Asefa Kebede GWWC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
8	TUTAD GC PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
9	Equatorial Construction PLC JV with Bigeta Business PLC	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

⁴ The bidder did not identify potential impacts of the construction to the site and their mitigation measures. He did not state any environmental and social issues in his technical proposal so, fails for must meet criteria under 5.6

⁵ The bidder did not used standard letter of bid as per as IFAD requirement. No Adjudicator stated on letter of bid. Since this fails for ITB 15.1 and 14.4, the bidder is not accepted

⁶ Power of attorney should be given for single person to sign on the bid documents on behalf of JV. But, SHOODEB ENGINEERING PLC had given two powers of attorney, one for Tedla Chanyalew and again for leading party, Satellite Construction PLC. Satellite Construction PLC did not give power of attorney for any.

⁷ Even though the letter of bid is part of agreement document, the bidder did not submit proper and standard letter of bid as per as IFAD requirement

⁸ Did not submit environmental and social declaration as per as IFAD bid format ES-3 and other formats despite of ITB 15.1



16

8

C. Technical Evaluation Summary

1. Evaluation Overview

- Total Bids Received: 9
- Preliminary Examination Passed: 5
- Technically Qualified: 4
- Technically Rejected: 1

2. Technically Qualified Bidders

S/No	Bidder's Name	Technical Status	Key Strengths	Compliant (Y/N)
1	Amare Fantahun GWWC JV with Addisu Yeshework GWWC	Fully Compliant	Has good specific and general work experience and staff	YES
2	Yoya Construction Engineering Plc JV With ESA Construction PLC	Fully Compliant	Work Experience and qualified staffs	YES
3	Equatorial Construction Plc JV with Bigeta Business PLC	Fully Compliant	Work Experience and qualified staffs	YES
4	TUTAD GC PLC	Fully Compliant	Financial Capacity, having Machine and work experience	YES

3. Technically Rejected Bidders & Reasons

S/No	Bidder's Name	Primary Reason for Rejection	Specific non-compliance
1	Tadesse Benti WWC& GC JV with Asefa Kebede GWWC	Annual Construction Turnover	Submitted under requirement
		Misleading	Submitted single project named as Haro Kamise in different zones (Jimma and Buno Bedele)



4. Price Evaluation for Technically Qualified Bidders

S/No	Bidder Name	Currency	Submitted/ Read-out bid price(s) with VAT	Arithmetic Correction / Difference	Adjust ment (%)	Evaluated bid price with VAT	Rank
1	Amare Fantahun GWWC JV with Addisu Yeshework GWWC	ETB	206,629,591.12	-508,076.75	0.25%	206,121,514.37	2 nd
2	Yoya Construction Engineering Plc JV With ESA Construction PLC	ETB	232,592,142.82	-195,266.88	0.08%	232,396,875.94	3 rd
3	Equatorial Construction Plc JV with Bigeta Business PLC	ETB	255,277,050.08	209,890.40	0.08%	255,486,940.48	4 th
4	Tutad GC PLC	ETB	161,730,857.32	399,998.78	0.25%	162,130,856.10	1 st

For all technically responsive bidders, arithmetic was checked and the rank is given after arithmetic check. This arithmetic correction changes the position of bidders. And finally, the rank after arithmetic check is taken for selection of evaluated least bidder.



H. Final Endorsement

Based on the comprehensive evaluation documented in this report, the Evaluation Committee unanimously reaffirms its recommendation to award the contract for **Lot-10: Waltane SSIP to Tutad GC PLC** for a final corrected total price of **ETB 162,130,856.10 (One Hundred Sixty-Two Million One Hundred Thirty Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-Six and 10/100)**.