

Points of Rejection during Preliminary Evaluation

1. Niguse Kidane WWC

- ✦ Since the letter of bid is not as per as IFAD format and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements. Therefore, for letter of bid is one of document attached to contract agreement of responsive bidder, the bidder did not use letter of bid as per as required by IFAD and formatted

2. MET Metal Engineering Work PLC

- ✦ Even though letter of bid is one of document attached to contract agreement of responsive bidder, the bidder did not use letter of bid as per as required by IFAD and formatted, the bidder not intended format of letter of bid as per as IFAD format and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements.

3. Daniel Tamere GWWC JV SHODEB Engineering

- ✦ Since the letter of bid is not as per as IFAD format and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements
- ✦ There is no 3rd party that certified or approved JV agreement of the two parties
- ✦ For JV, bid document should be stamped by the two parties to show their joint willingness. But the there is only single stamp on the bid document
- ✦ Power of attorney is expected to be on the behalf of the two parties, but the declaration given for the power of attorney is not as intended.
- ✦ Bidder submitted expired tax clearance

4. Gammachuu Mallkamu GC

- ✦ Even though letter of bid is one of document attached to contract agreement of responsive bidder, the bidder did not use letter of bid as per as required by IFAD and formatted, the bidder not intended format of letter of bid as per as IFAD format and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements



The bottom of the page features four handwritten signatures in blue ink. To the right of the second and third signatures is a circular official stamp. The stamp contains text in Amharic and English, including 'የኢትዮጵያ ጥሬ ጥቅም' (Ministry of Agriculture) and 'የኢትዮጵያ ጥሬ ጥጥር' (Ministry of Trade). The stamp is partially obscured by the signatures.

5. Bereket Eshetu GC

- ✦ The employer ordered the bidders to submit one original and two copies of both technical and financial proposals on Bid Data sheet. But, the bidder submitted only single copy which leads incomplete document submission
- ✦ Opposite to bidder needed that has no nonperforming history, pending litigation, the bidder stated that, his company has history of nonperforming and litigation history too on bidding forms.
- ✦ The bidder also not use correct procurement reference number and adjudicator

6. Berhanu Bekele GWWC

- ✦ Since the letter of bid is not as per as IFAD format and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements
- ✦ Environmental and social S declaration is not complete



4.2.2. Detail Technical Evaluation

Seven (7) bidders, those are being responsive for preliminary evaluation is considered for further technical evaluation.

		Bidders Name							
No.	Evaluation Criteria	Unit	Nehemia Engineering PLC	Kenbon Construction and Trading	Yatani Construction Engineering	Wada Engineering PLC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Boni Construction PLC	Yeshak Abebe GC
3	Financial Situation and Performance								
3.1	Financial Capacity								
3.1.1	Access to Financial Source of the bidder (9,000,000 ETB)		R	R	R	R	R	R	R
3.1.2	Audit report for the last 5 years(2020-2024 GC)		R	R	NR	R	R	R	R
3.2	Average Construction turnover								



3.2.1	Average annual construction turnover: Minimum average annual construction turnover of ETB 50 million calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed within the last 5 years from (2020 – 2024 G.C) years, divided by 5 years.	NR	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	NR
3.2.2	Average annual turnover: The average annual turnover calculated as total certified payments received for contracts completed within the last 5 years (from 2020 to 2024 G.C) must be 50 million birr Bidders must present a letter of evidence and notifications of Annual turnover letter issued by the required Ministry of Revenue tax payers branch office.	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
4	Experience								

R



Φ

[illegible]

i	Material Flow Master Schedule		NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
ii	Human Resources Master Schedule		NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
iii	Cash Flow Master Schedule		NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
iv *	Construction Schedule Methodology		NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R
c	Equipment Resources Master Schedule		NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
5	Code of conduct: Environmental, social, health and safety (ESHS): Code of conduct: Environmental, social (including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) and gender-based violence (GBV), health and safety plans should be prepared with Matrix and submitted. This can be written by stating what are the social, health and environmental problems in connection with irrigation scheme construction and what are the possible remedies or protective measures to be undertaken		NR	R	NR	R						NR
6	Profession	No.										



Handwritten signature or mark.

Handwritten signature or mark.

	1. Project Manager	1	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R
	2. Officer Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	3. Site Engineer	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	4. Construction Forman	3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	5. Surveyor	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	6. Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
	7. Social Specialist & Site Organizer	1	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R
7	Equipment Proposed for the work	No.								
	1. Dozer D8 and above	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	2. Chain Excavator (0.5m ³ and above)	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	3. Dump truck (14m ³ & above)	3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	4. Double Cabin 4WD(4 person /10 Quintal)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	5. Concrete mixer(250-500 liter capacity)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R



6. Hand compactor	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
7.Vibrator	2	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
8.Dewatering pump	2	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
9.Surveying equipment, Total station	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
10.Surveying equipment ,leveling	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
OVER ALL RESPONSIVENESS		NR	R	NR	NR	R	R	NR	NR	NR

➤ Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set will be granted **R** which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit will be given **NR** which stands for Non responsiveness

Among these, only 2(Two) bidders are responsive and considered for financial comparison.



(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)

Reasons of rejection of the 5 bidders are listed as follows:

1. Nehemia Engineering PLC

- ✚ Average annual turnover is under desired amount
- ✚ Project Manager has no professional license
- ✚ Positive and negative impact of construction on ES is not articulated
- ✚ Construction methodology is not site specific
- ✚ All schedule is not clear and as standard
- ✚ No cost breakdown presented

2. Yatani Construction Engineering

- ✚ Even though there is audit report, the auditor's trade license, VAT register and Tax payer is not attached to identify his/her eligibility
- ✚ Turnover is below intended amount
- ✚ Site visit is not certified by woreda/kebele, and the bidder has not present site visit report
- ✚ ESHS is prepared for road construction, not for Imiru SSIP
- ✚ Environmental, social (including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) and gender-based violence (GBV), health and safety plans was not prepared with Matrix

3. WADA Engineering PLC

- ✚ Project Management has no specific experience, and also has general experience under requirement years
- ✚ Social Specialist and site organizer has irrelevant academic profession.
- ✚ Schedule of work, material, Human Resource, Cash Flow and equipment is not appropriate and not as standard

4. Boni Construction PLC

- ✚ Average annual construction turnover is under requirement

5. Yeshak Abebe GC

- ✚ Average annual construction turnover is under requirement and certified payment of the executed construction work is not attached.
- ✚ Professional license of Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist is not renewed(the year of renewed is unknown)
- ✚ ESH matrix that describes positive and negative impact of the project on environment and social with necessary mitigation measures is not submitted.



4.2.3. Financial Comparison

S/N	Bidders Name	Currency	Submitted Readout with Vat	Corrected Arithmetic with Vat	Rank
1	Kenbon Construction and Trading	ETB	146,799,584.51	152,802,585.40	1 st
2	Equatorial Construction PLC	ETB	241,444,384.82	168,734,959.34	2 nd

Recommendation for Evaluation

Accordingly, **Kenbon Construction and Trading** has been recommended as the lowest evaluated bidder for construction of **Imiru SSIP** with an amount of **152,802,585.40** (One Hundred Fifty-Two Million Eight Hundred Two Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-Five and 40/100) with 15% VAT.



Handwritten signatures and initials at the bottom of the page.

4.3.Evaluation Result and Discussion of Lot-9 (Hamsiso SSIP)

4.3.1. Preliminary Evaluation

Table 3 Lot-9 (Hamsiso SSIP) Preliminary Evaluation

S/ No	Name of the Bidders	Eligibility										Verification							Completen		ES requirem.		Overall
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Gov' t Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	suspension based on execution of bid	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration	Valid license	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C	Bid Security	Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical& financial document	Declaration of ES Past Performance	Bank's SEA and /or SH disqualification		
1	Zewdu Lema GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
2	Zemenay Gashe PLC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
3	Kalachaa	R	R	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR		
4	Construction PLC FY Bonsa GWWC	R	N R	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	NR	NR		
5	Burka Robe JV with Habib H43500 PLC Hamed	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	NR		
6	Dedefo Tenesho GC & WWC JV	R	N R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	R	R	NR		



[illegible]

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set will be granted R which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit will be given NR which stands for Non responsiveness

From 15 bidders submitted their bid proposals, 8 bidders were failed to be responsive for further detail technical evaluation. The reason for rejection/fail of these bidders is described as follows:

Points of Rejection during Preliminary Evaluation

1. Kalacha Construction PLC

- ✦ Letter of bid is not as per IFAD standard and not complete (1,2,5,14,14 & 19) and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks and so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and bank's SEA requirements SEA requirements.

2. FY Bonsa GWWC

- ✦ Even though letter of bid is one of document attached to contract agreement of responsive bidder, the bidder did not submit letter of bid as per as required by IFAD and formatted, so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements.
- ✦ The bidder not signed on each page of the bidding document.

3. Burka Robe JV with Habib Hussen Mohammed

- ✦ The bidder submitted proposal which lack completeness since the bidder submitted only one copy of financial proposal.
- ✦ Even though adjudicator on letter of bid of bidder and bid data sheet should be aligned, the bidder didn't state either to accept or not.
- ✦ All blank spaces on Letter of bid should be filled properly and used without any alteration, the bidder submitted incomplete letter of bid (un filled black spaces)

4. Dedefo Tenesho GC & WWC JV with Shodeb Engineering

- ✦ Even though letter of bid is one of document attached to contract agreement of responsive bidder, the bidder did not use letter of bid as per as required by IFAD and formatted, the bidder not filled other intended formats
- ✦ There is power attorney declaration, but the person authorized to sign the document didn't sign at all necessary parts

5. Walif Construction S.C

- ✦ Letter of bid used by the bidder is not one required by IFAD which leads
- ✦ Even the letter used stated another project work while the bidder is submitted for Hamsiso SSIP works



6. Bekele Burusa GC & WWC

- ✦ Despite of ITB 15.1 which states that “All blank spaces shall be filled in with the information requested”, the bidder submitted unfilled some blank spaces. The bidder is expected to examine all **instructions, forms, terms, and works requirements** in this bidding document. **Failure to furnish all information or documentation** required by this bidding document may result in the *rejection of the bid* (ITB 9.4)
- ✦ The bidder's license is blocked and not renewed.

2:54 PM

***0. 2019년 1월 1일 현재**

የገንዘብ ፈቃድ
የፈቃድ ቁጥር
04/451/04/3399/2007

የገንዘብ ስም
በቀለ ቡሩሳ ጉርሙ

70952

የእድላት ቀን
8/6/2011

እድሳቱ የሚያገለግለው
8/6/2011 - 30/10/2011

ያለበት ሁኔታ

① 注意

የታገደበት የግንደንት አሳይ፡

የተመዘገበበት ቀን
11/2/2007

አድራሻ
ክልል
ኦሮሚያ

117
አ.ዲ.ማ ከተማ

h4A h409/02.2.



7. Gemechu Admasu WWC JV with Tewdros Admasu WWC

- ✚ Even though letter of bid is one of document attached to contract agreement of responsive bidder, the bidder did not submit letter of bid as per as required by IFAD and formatted, so there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and banks SEA requirements.

8. Gadisa Jetu GC & WWC

- ✚ The bidder did not IFAD required letter of bid and there is no declaration of conflict of interest, suspension, IFAD eligibility and bank's SEA requirements.



Handwritten signatures and initials at the bottom of the page, including a large stylized signature on the left, the number '7' in the center, and a circular mark on the right.