

3. Lot-1 (Oda Muda SSIP) Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

3.1. Preliminary Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Table 2: Preliminary evaluation result

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility								Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		(Overall Responsiveness	
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing withdrawal of the bid	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration Certificate	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance		Bank's SEA and/or SH disqualification
	Bas Solomon GC & WWC	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
	Bonsa GWWC	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	NR
	Minyabul Telera GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
4	Tilahun Kifle GC	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
5	Equatorial Construction plc	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
6	Cosmos Engineering & Commerce plc	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
7	Gashaw Bens WWC&HC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
8	Barkat Construction	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility										Verification								Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		Overall Responsiveness
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nations Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing withdrawal of the bid	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization or Registration Certificate	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & Financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance	Bank's SLA and /or SH disqualification			
9	Girma Tafesse GC& WWC	R	R	NR	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR		
10	Gerochu Admasu JV with Tedros Admasu	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR		
11	Netriya Engineering plc	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
12	Girma Asfaw Building road & WWC JV with Arso WWC & GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
13	Isahak Abebe GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
14	Pavilion GC& WWC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
15	Wada Engineering	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
16	Zemenay Gashaye GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
17	Tadase Beshi WWC JV with DAD Construction & Trading	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit denoted by "NR" which stands for Non-responsiveness

responsiveness

3.2. Remark on Preliminary Evaluation

Based on the preliminary evaluation result the following eight (8) bidders could not succeed to the technical evaluation and became Non-responsive bids as well as are rejected at this stage due to the reasons below

1-Yonas Solomon GC & WWC

- **Letter of bid misrepresentation:** Bid the performance security in Letter of Bid Serial #7 altered, substituted, and not appropriately fulfilled, in accordance with ITB 47.1.
- **Letter of distortion:** On Letter of Bid #10, # 11 and #19 not incorporated, substituted and altered which contradicts as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.

2-FY Bensa GWWC-1

- **Letter of distortion:** The letter of bid altered, substituted, and modified, which contradicts as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- The provided bidder document not signed on all pages.

3-Tilahun Kifle GC

- Letter of Bid not fulfilled with necessary information and became blank and no response to the requirements for instant Performance security ES and Potential Adjudicator, which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and ITB 49.1 respectively.
- Not Submitted Government Owned Entity and United Nations Resolution by using required Bidding Forms ELI 1.1 & ELI 1.2 with attachment.
- Not Submitted History of non-performing contract, Litigation History and Pending Litigation and by using required Bidding Forms CON-2.

4-Girma Tafesse GC & WWC

- Letter of Bid altered, substituted and modified which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- Not Submitted Government Owned Entity and United Nations Resolution by using required Bidding Forms ELI 1.1 & ELI 1.2 with attachment
- Not Submitted History of non-performing contract, Litigation History and Pending Litigation and by using required Bidding Forms CON-2.

5-Gemechu Admasu JV with Tedros Admasu

- Letter of Bid altered, substituted and modified which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- Declaration: environmental and social (ES) Past Performance not submitted.

6-Girma Asfawu Road & WWC JV Jarso WWC & GC

- Letter of Bid #7 (performance security for Environmental and Social Security not fulfilled correctly with necessary information).
- The agreement for the Joint Venture was not made to the specific project location and did not comply with the original intent of the partnership."
- Not Submitted Litigation History by using required Bidding Forms CON-2.



7-Minyahil Tefera GC

- Letter of Bid not fulfilled with necessary information and became blank and no response to the requirements for instant Performance security ES and Potential Adjudicator, which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and ITB 49.1 respectively.

8- Cosmos Engineering & Commerce PLC

- Letter of Bid not fulfilled with necessary information and became blank and no response to the requirements for instant Performance security ES
- Letter of Bid #7 (performance security for Environmental and Social Security not fulfilled correctly with necessary information).
- The Letter of Bid was missing key information, including the proposed Adjudicator, as required under as per ITB BDS 49.1.

NB: The aforementioned **seven(7)** bidders were deemed non-responsive and excluded from the technical evaluation phase due to material deficiencies, unauthorized alterations of the bid template, omission of critical documents, and failure to comply with eligibility, legal and ES requirements as stipulated in the bidding documents.

The following **ten (10) bidders** found to have met all preliminary requirements and therefore advanced to the Technical Evaluation stage:

1. Gashaw Benti WWC & BC
2. Barkot Construction GC & WWC-1 GC & WWC-1
3. Nehmiya Engineering PLC
4. Wada Engineering
5. Zemenay Gashiye GC
6. Pavilion GC & WWC
7. Equatorial Construction PLC
8. Tadase Benti WWC JV with DAD Construction & Trading
9. Yishak Abebe GC

These firms further evaluated based on the Qualification and Evaluation Criteria outlined under Section III of the Bidding Document, focusing on experience, personnel, equipment, and methodology among others.

For King n2



3.3, Technical Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Table 3: Lot-1 (Oda Muda) Technical Evaluation results

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name								
			Gashaw Benji WWC&BC	Barkot Construction	Nehruya Engineering PLC	Wada Engineering	Zemenay Gashiy GC	Pavilion GC & WWC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Tadase Banti JV WWC with DAD Construction and Trading PLC	Yishak Abebe GC
2.1	Financial Situation and Performance										
2.1.1	Access to Cash flow of the bidder (15,000,000 ETB)		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
2.1.2	Audit report for the last 5 years(2020-2024 GC)		R	R	NR	R	NR	NR	R	R	R
2.2	Average annual construction turnover: Minimum average annual construction turnover of ETB 75 million calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed within the last 5 years from (2020 – 2024 G.C.) years, divided by 5 years. Average annual turnover: The average annual turnover calculated as total certified payments received for contracts completed within the last 5 years (from 2020 to 2024 G.C.) must be 75 million birr. Bidders must present a letter of evidence and notifications of Annual turnover letter issued by the required Ministry of Revenue tax payment branch office.		R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	NR
3	Experience										
3.1	General experience: Experience under construction contracts in the role of prime contractor, JV member, sub-contractor, or management contractor for at least the last 5 years, starting 1st January 2020. Specific construction and contract Management experience: The bidder must have successfully executed at least one contract in the last five (5) years (2020 to 2024 G.C.) with a minimum amount of 100 million ETB and must be substantially completed or two Contracts each at least 50 million ETB and total 100 million ETB of the two contracts. The Bidder has successfully Participated as prime local contractor in similar irrigation construction works of similar nature and complexity, such as irrigation system, Water supply, Drainage Or similar water related works.		R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R
3.2			R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R

Bidder's Name

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Gashaw Bent WWCA&BC	Barkot Construction	Nehmya Engineering PLC	Wada Engineering	Zemenay Gashaye GC	Pavilion GC & WWC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Tadase Bant JN WWC with DAD Construction and Trading PLC	Yisak Abebe GC
	Cost Break Down (Detailed Breakdown of prices) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of the basic prices for labour (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic supply prices for materials and consumables (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic hourly prices for equipment (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of unit prices in the price schedule (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Detailed Breakdown of Site Costs (converted into currency indicated in SCC)		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
4	General Construction Work methodology: a. Site Visit report and verification letter from the district b. Work schedule Material Flow Master Schedule Human Resources Master Schedule Cash Flow Master Schedule Construction schedule methodology C. Equipment Resources Master Schedule		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Code of conduct: Environmental, social, health and safety (ESHS): Code of conduct: Environmental, social (including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) and gender-based violence (GBV), health and safety plans should be prepared with Matrix and submitted. This can be written by stating what are the social, health and environmental problems in connection with irrigation scheme construction and what are the possible remedial or protective measures to be undertaken.		NR	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	NR	R
4			NR	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	NR	NR



No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name								Yishak Abebe GC
			Ginshaw Banti WWC&BC	Barkot Construction	Nchuniya Engineering PLC	Wada Engineering	Zemenay Gashaye GC	Pavilion GC & WWC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Tadise Banti JV WWC with DAD Construction and Trading PLC	
7	Professional Qualification	No.									
	1. Project Manager	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	2. Officer Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	3. Site Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	4. Construction Foreman	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	5. Surveyor	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	6. Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist	1	NR	R	R	R	R	NR	R	NR	NR
	7. Social Specialist & Site Organization	1	NR	R	R	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR
8	Equipment Proposed for the work	No.									
	1. Chain Excavator (0.5m3 and above)	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	2. Dump truck (14m3 & above)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	3. Double Cabin 4WD (4 person /10 Quintal)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	4. Concrete mixer (250-500 liter capacity)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Hand compactor	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Vibrator	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Dewatering pump	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	8. Surveying equipment, Total station	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	9. Surveying equipment, Leveling	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	OVER ALL RESPONSIVENESS		NR	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit will be denoted as "NR" which stands for

Non-responsiveness

3.4. Remarks on Technical Evaluation

Following successful completion of the preliminary evaluation, bidders who met the basic eligibility and administrative requirements advanced to the technical evaluation stage. This stage carried out in accordance with the criteria outlined in Section III: Evaluation and Qualification Requirements of the Bidding Document. The purpose of the technical evaluation is to assess the bidders' qualifications, personnel, experience, and proposed methodology to determine their capacity to execute the works effectively and in compliance with the required standards.

Upon review, **seven (7) bidders** wound to be non-responsive at the technical stage due to major shortcomings in experience documentation, staffing, compliance with project-specific planning, and failure to adhere to key environmental and social safeguards. Below is a detailed justification for each:

1. Gashaw Benti WWC & BC

- **Professional Licensing:** The Social Specialist and Site Organizer lacked valid professional licenses. Additionally, the agreements between these experts and the company were neither signed nor time-bound, undermining the credibility of personnel commitment.
- **CV Authorization:** CVs for all key experts, including the Project Manager, were neither signed by the experts themselves nor endorsed by the company's authorized representative, violating bid form requirements.
- **Qualification Mismatch:** The Environmental, Climate, and Safeguard Specialist's academic background and professional credentials did not align with the required qualifications outlined in the bid document.
- **Code of Conduct:** The submitted Code of Conduct failed to include provisions on the prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (SEA) and Gender-Based Violence (GBV), which are essential under the World Bank's Environmental and Social Framework (ESF).
- **Work Planning:** The bidder did not submit the Material, Human Resource, Equipment, and Cash Flow Master Schedule via the required project management software, nor was a resource allocation sheet included.

2. Zemenay Gashaye GC

- **Invalid Financial Audit:** The private auditor's trade license was issued in 2023 (2016 EC), making the audit results for the period 2020–2024 legally invalid and unacceptable.
- **Turnover Requirement:** The average annual construction turnover, as stated in a confirmation letter from the Tax Office, failed to meet the minimum threshold required by the bidding document.



- **Master Schedules Missing:** No submission of Material, Equipment, Human Resource, or Cash Flow schedules through the designated project software; resource allocation sheet also missing.
- **Code of Conduct:** The Code of Conduct was not prepared under the bidder's official name, but rather under another company's name—raising concerns of authenticity.
- **Professional Licensing:** The Social Specialist and Site Organizer were not licensed professionals.
- **CV Format Non-Compliance:** CVs were not completed using the designated bidding forms and lacked necessary authorization by the company's representative.

3. Pavilion GC & WWC

- **Unacceptable Audit Report:** The audit report was conducted by a private auditor whose license had not been renewed, rendering the report invalid for evaluation purposes.
- **Missing ESHS Code of Conduct:** The bidder did not submit a Code of Conduct addressing Environmental, Social, Health, and Safety (ESHS) standards.
- **Incomplete Work Planning:** Required master schedules for resources were not prepared or submitted through the specified project software.
- **Unqualified Personnel:** Neither the Environmental and Social Specialist nor the Social Specialist and Site Organizer held the necessary professional licenses.

4. Wada Engineering PLC

- **Work Planning Deficiency:** Material, Equipment, Cash Flow, and Human Resource schedules were not submitted through the designated project software.
- **Personnel Qualifications:** The Social Specialist and Site Organizer lacked the required academic and professional credentials.
- **Incomplete Personnel Agreement:** The required Code of Conduct agreements between the bidder and all key experts were missing; only the Environmental and Social Specialist was covered.

5. Tadase Benti WWC JV with DAD Construction & Trading

- **Master Schedules Not Submitted:** Material, Equipment, and Human Resource schedules were not prepared in project software format and were entirely missing.
- **Missing Code of Conduct Agreements:** No evidence of executed agreements between the bidder and the proposed experts using the official bidding forms.
- **Unqualified Experts:** The Environmental and Social Specialist, as well as the Social Specialist and Site Organizer, lacked the necessary academic qualifications and valid licenses to fulfill their roles.



6. Yishak Abebe GC

- **Financial Ineligibility:** Both the average annual construction turnover and the overall annual turnover were below the minimum thresholds required.
- **Work Planning Deficiency:** No master schedules were prepared or submitted through project software.
- **Unqualified Personnel:** The Environmental and Social Specialist's qualifications did not align with the required standards; additionally, the Social Specialist and Site Organizer lacked professional licenses.

7. Nehmiya Engineering PLC

- **Lack of Experience:** The bidder submitted both general and specific construction experiences dated before the company's establishment, thereby not fulfilling the requirement.
- **Unsubstantiated Financial Turnover:** The turnover evidence provided by the bidder's supporting letter based on non-existent experience, lacking credibility.
- **Invalid Audit Report:** The audit report referenced the years 2012–2013 EC, which predated the formation of the company, making it invalid.

After comprehensive review, the evaluation team concluded that **only three (3) bidders** fully satisfied the technical evaluation requirements and considered responsive for progression to the financial evaluation stage. These bidders are:

1. Barkot Construction GC & WWC-I
2. Equatorial Construction PLC

These firms demonstrated acceptable financial soundness, qualified personnel, valid licensing, and comprehensive project planning in accordance with the bidding document requirements.

[Three handwritten signatures in blue ink]



[Handwritten signature in blue ink]

3.5. Financial Evaluation

Table 4: Lot-1(Oda Muda) Financial evaluation results

Following the completion of the financial evaluation in accordance with the World Bank procurement guidelines and the PACT project's procurement procedures, the recommended least evaluated bidder is identified as follows:

No	Name of the Contractors	Read out price				Price adjusted after arithmetic check		Rank
		With Out VAT	After Rabbet (%)	With VAT (15%)	With Out VAT	After Rabbet (%)	With VAT (15%)	
1	Barkot Construction	221,058,912.92		254,257,795.86	220,863,881.44		253,993,463.66	1 st
2	Equatorial Construction PLC	222,826,407	3%	248,562,857.23	234,277,441.27	3%	261,336,485.74	2 nd

The recommended bidder submitted a substantially responsive bid in the technical evaluation and passed all qualification requirements.

The evaluated bid price is determined after applying all necessary adjustments in accordance with the bidding documents.

[Handwritten signatures]



3.6. Recommendation of the Evaluation

Accordingly, **Barkot Construction GC & WWC-1** is the first least evaluated bidder and has been recommended for award with the evaluated bid price amount of **253,993,463.66 (Two hundred fifty-three million nine hundred ninety-three thousand four hundred sixty-three birr and sixty-six cents.)** inclusive of VAT.

4. Lot-4(Rorisa) Evaluation and qualification criteria

4.1 Preliminary evaluation and qualification criteria

Table 5: Preliminary evaluation result

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility								Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements			Overall Responsiveness
		Nativeness	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	suspension based on execution of bid securing declaration by Employer or withdrawal of the bid within bid validity	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 &	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Pass Performance	Bank's SEA and /or SH disqualification	
1	Shoof Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Darbeto Tmesaho WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
2	Tina's Ashok Kumar WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
3	Sofamayas Getu Abebe WWC-3	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
4	Yabeon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
5	Walif Construction Share Company GC-3	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
6	Adugna Ijigu Horidofa GC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
7	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonary Degemass GWWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

Note: Bidders that fulfill the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit denoted by "NR" which stands for Non-responsiveness

4.2. Remark on Preliminary evaluation

The preliminary evaluation carried out to assess the administrative compliance and responsiveness of bids based on the requirements stated in the Instructions to Bidders (ITB) and Section IV: Bidding Forms of the IFAD procurement document. Bids that failed to comply with these essential submission requirements considered non-responsive and disqualified from proceeding to the technical evaluation stage.

1-Sofaniyas Getu Abebe WWGC-3

- No Letter of Bid submitted according to IFAD tender document Section IV Bidding form and it altered, substituted and fulfilled with unnecessary information.
- Not Submitted on Letter of Bid Bank's SEA and/or SH disqualification.

2-Walif Construction Share Company GC-3

- Letter of Bid altered, substituted and modified which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- Specifically the Letter of Bid #12, which addresses the disclosure of commissions, gratuities, or fees, completed with inappropriate or unnecessary information. The section intended to be marked "None" unless such payments actually made. Including irrelevant details not only violates the intended purpose of the clause but may also raise concerns of transparency and ethical compliance. Due to these inconsistencies and breaches of the bidding document provisions, the bid declared non-responsive and excluded from further evaluation.

The following **five (5) bidders** found to have met all preliminary requirements and therefore advanced to the Technical Evaluation stage:

[Handwritten signatures]



4.3. Technical Evaluation and qualification criteria

Table 6: Lot-4(Rorisa) Technical Evaluation results

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name				
			Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedeto Tenesho WWC-1	Tinsa'e Ashok Kumar WWGC-1	Adugna Ujiga Hordofa GC-3	Yabcon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degebaas GWWC-3
2.1	Financial Situation and Performance						
2.1.1	Access to Cash flow of the bidder (6,000,000 ETB)		NR	R	R	R	R
2.1.2	Audit report for the last 5 years(2020-2024 GC)		NR	R	NR	R	R
2.2	Average annual construction turnover: Minimum average annual construction turnover of ETB 30 million calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed within the last 5 years from (2020 – 2024 G.C) years, divided by 5 years.		NR	R	NR	R	R
	Average annual turnover: The average annual turnover calculated as total certified payments received for contracts completed within the last 5 years (from 2020 to 2024 G.C) must be 30 million birr. Bidders must present a letter of evidence and notifications of Annual turnover letter issued by the required Ministry of Revenue tax payers branch office.		R	R	NR	R	R
3	Experience						
3.1	General experience: Experience under construction contracts in the role of prime contractor, JV member, sub-contractor, or management contractor for at least the last 5 years, starting 1st January 2020.		R	R	NR	R	R



[Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink]

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name				
			Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedefo Teesho WWC-1	Tinsa'e Ashok Kumar WWGC-1	Adugna Ijiga Hordofa GC-3	Yabcon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degbass GWWC-3
	6. Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist	1	R	R	R	R	R
	7. Social Specialist & Site Organizer	1	R	R	R	NR	R
8	Equipment Proposed for the work	No.					
	1.Chain Excavator (0.5m3 and above)	1	R	R	R	R	R
	2.Dump truck (1.4m3 & above)	2	R	R	R	R	R
	3 Double Cabin 4WD(4 person /10 Quintal)	2	R	R	R	R	R
	4.Concrete mixer(250-500 liter capacity)	2	R	R	R	R	R
	5. Hand compactor	2	R	R	R	R	R
	6.Vibrator	2	R	R	R	R	R
	7.Dewatering pump	2	R	R	R	R	R
	8.Surveying equipment, Total station	1 set	R	R	R	R	R
	9.Surveying equipment leveling	1 set	R	R	R	R	R
	OVER ALL RESPONSIVENESS		NR	R	NR	NR	R



Handwritten signatures in blue ink, including a large stylized signature and a smaller one below it.

4.4. Remarks on Technical evaluation

Following the preliminary assessment, technically compliant bids reviewed against the criteria outlined in Section III: Evaluation and Qualification Requirements of the Bidding Document. The objective of this phase is to determine whether bidders possess the technical, **work methods**, financial, and human resource capacity to successfully execute the proposed works.

After a detailed assessment, the following three (3) bidders were determined to be non-responsive at the technical evaluation stage due to significant deficiencies in documentation, qualifications, and non-compliance with submission formats. Detailed justifications provided below:

1) Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedefo Tenesho WWC-1

- Financial Documentation Non-compliance: The required evidence of access to cash flow submitted only by one partner in the joint venture, rather than as a consolidated financial representation of all JV members, as stipulated in the bidding requirements. This undermines the financial assessment of the joint entity.
- Audit Reports: The audit reports for the years 2020–2024 GC were provided only by one JV member. However, under joint venture arrangements, financial statements must reflect the combined capacity of all partners. This partial submission renders the documents inadmissible.
- Experience Records: Similarly, the specific construction experience and contract management records submitted only for a single partner, not collectively for the JV. As per evaluation requirements, such experience must represent the combined capacity of all JV members. This oversight indicates non-compliance with core qualification criteria.
- Work Planning Deficiency: The Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule was not prepared or submitted using the prescribed project software, nor were the required planning and resource allocation tools included. This omission compromises the ability to evaluate the bidder's project readiness and resource planning.

Due to the absence of combined JV submissions for key financial and technical criteria, as well as missing work planning documentation, this bid deemed non-responsive at the technical stage.

2) Adugna Ijiga Hordofa GC-3

- Lack of Experience: The bidder failed to provide any valid evidence of general construction or specific project experience, which are essential prerequisites for demonstrating technical capacity.
- Fraudulent Financial Documentation: The audit reports, average annual turnover, and construction turnover submissions were determined to be non-existent and fabricated. These falsified records represent a serious violation of bidding ethics and procurement integrity.



- Missing Work Plans: The bidder did not submit the Material, Human Resource, Equipment, Cash Flow Master Schedule, or a valid Construction Methodology using the required project software tools. The complete absence of these fundamental planning documents made it impossible to assess the bidder's practical execution strategy.
- Given the lack of verifiable experience, submission of forged financial documents, and failure to submit essential work plans, this bid classified as non-responsive and rejected at the technical evaluation stage.

3) Yabcon Construction & Engineering WWC-1

- Missing Code of Conduct: The bidder did not submit the Code of Conduct for Contractor Personnel, which is required to be prepared using the official bidding form and must include signed agreements between the bidder and all proposed key experts. This document is critical for ensuring contractor compliance with Environmental, Social, Health, and Safety (ESHS) standards, including commitments to prevent Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (SEA) and Gender-Based Violence (GBV).
- Personnel Licensing: The Social Specialist and Site Organizer proposed in the bid lacked valid professional licenses, thereby failing to meet the minimum qualification requirements for these positions.
- Incomplete Work Schedules: The bidder did not prepare or submit the Material and Equipment Master Schedules using the designated project software. These schedules are vital to evaluate the bidder's resource planning, mobilization timeline, and project execution framework.
- Due to missing compliance documentation, unlicensed key personnel, and failure to provide required project schedules, the bidder found to be non-responsive in the technical evaluation stage.

The above-listed bidders failed to meet one or more essential technical evaluation criteria. Their submissions either lacked required documentation, included unverifiable or fraudulent information, or were incomplete in terms of personnel, planning, or compliance standards. As a result, these bids rejected from further consideration in accordance with the rules and criteria established in the Bidding Documents

[Handwritten signatures]



4.5. Financial Evaluation results

Following the completion of the financial evaluation in accordance with the World Bank procurement guidelines and the PACT project's procurement procedures, the recommended least evaluated bidder identified as follows:

Table 7: Financial evaluation results of Rorisa SSIP

N o	Name of the Contractors	Read out price			Price adjusted after arithmetic check				Ranks
		With VAT	Out Rabbit (%)	With VAT (15%)	With VAT	Out Rabbit (%)	With VAT (15%)		
1	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degeba GWWC-3	50,080,580.98		57,592,668.12	58,080,613.59		66,792,705.63		1 st
2	Tinsa'e Ashok Kumar WWGC-1	67,128,917.30		77,198,254.90	62,762,642.21		72,182,788.55		2 nd

The recommended bidder submitted a substantially responsive bid in the technical evaluation and passed all qualification requirements.

The evaluated bid price is determined after applying all necessary adjustments in accordance with the bidding documents.





4.6. Recommendation of the Evaluation

Accordingly, the evaluation team recommended that the lowest evaluated bid (preliminary, technical financial and Financial evaluation) successful bidder called **Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degebass GWWC-3** with the total price after arithmetic check of **ETB 66,792,705.63 (Sixty Six Million Seven Hundred Ninety Two Thousand Seven Hundred Five and 63/100).**

Name & Signature of the Evaluation Committee

--	--	--	--	--

5. Lot-11 (WachugeSSIP) evaluation and qualification criteria

5.1. Preliminary evaluation and qualification criteria

Table 8: Preliminary evaluation result

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility									Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		Overall Responsiveness		
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing declaration by Employer or withdrawal of the bid within bid validity	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance	Bank's SEA and /or SH disqualification			
1	Tesfaye Mosisa Geneda GC-5	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
2	Pavilion GC & WWC -3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
3	Meseret Mokontin WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
4	Abdulaziz Umar WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
5	Abraham Dereje GC & GCWW WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility									Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		Overall Responsiveness
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing declaration by Employer or withdrawal of the bid within bid validity	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance	Bank's SEA and/or SH disqualification	
	Beams WWC PLC	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
	Engineering Beams WWC-3	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
8	Hilif Construction GC-5	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
9	SYG WWC PLC-4	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
10	KEPAD Construction PLC WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
11	Niguse Kidane WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR	NR
12	Awash Construction PLC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R



Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink.

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility								Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		Overall Responsiveness		
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing declaration by Employer or withdrawal of the bid within bid validity	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance	Bank's SEA and /or SH disqualification		
13	Arbion Construction JV with Wk Construction Trading plc WWC-5	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
14	Workneh Gizaw WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
15	Tibeb GC & WWC plc JV Letma Edae	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
16	Pheziros Masfin JV Relish Trading	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
17	Akima Construction Engineering WWC-1	R	NR	R	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
18	Burka Gemechu WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility								Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		Overall Responsiveness	
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing declaration by Employer or withdrawal of the bid within bid validity	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance		Bank's SEA and /or SH disqualification
19	Kerbon Taye WWC-5	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
20	Feset Gemeda WWC-3	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
21	UOO Construction	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
22	Melaneh Bekele JV Yatai Cols Plc	R	R	R	R		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set represented by "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit denoted by "NR" which stands for

Non-responsiveness



5.2. Remark on the Preliminary Evaluation

In accordance with the procedures outlined in the Instructions to Bidders (ITB) and Section IV of the IFAD Bidding Document, a comprehensive preliminary evaluation was conducted to assess the administrative compliance, completeness, and eligibility of submitted bids. Bidders who failed to meet the minimum requirements or deviated from critical submission standards were considered non-responsive and were not advanced to the technical evaluation stage.

The evaluation results determined that seven (7) bidders did not meet the requirements. Below is a detailed justification for the disqualification of each:

1. Kanopus WWC PLC

- **The Letter of Bid** was incomplete and improperly filled, with the following specific issues: Serial No. 6: The Bid Validity Period was not correctly stated in accordance with ITB Clause 21.1. Serial No. 7: The Performance Security (including Environmental and Social requirements) was altered and not correctly fulfilled, violating ITB Clause 47.1. Serial No. 19: The section designating the Adjudicator was not properly completed, contradicting ITB Clause 49.1.
- **Additionally**, the bidder failed to submit *key eligibility documents*: The Government-Owned Entity Declaration and United Nations Sanctions Resolution, required under Forms ELI 1.1 and ELI 1.2, were not provided. The History of Non-Performing Contracts, using Form CON-2, was also missing, limiting the evaluators' ability to assess the bidder's performance history.

2. ABEAM Engineering

- **No valid Letter of Bid** was submitted in accordance with the official IFAD Section IV Bidding Forms. Several critical sections were either omitted or incorrectly completed, including: Bid Validity Period (inconsistent with ITB 21.1), Performance Security (altered and substituted, violating ITB 47.1), Eligibility Declaration (not in accordance with IFAD eligibility standards), Adjudicator Appointment (not correctly fulfilled under ITB 49.1).
- The bidder also *omitted mandatory declarations* in the Letter of Bid related to: Disqualification due to execution of Bid Securing Declaration by the employer, Bank-related disqualification under SEA/SH (Sexual Exploitation, Abuse, and Harassment) concerns. These omissions constitute significant non-compliance with IFAD's procurement requirements.

3. Niguse Kidane WWGC

- **The Letter of Bid** was not in the standard form and was found to be altered, substituted, and included inappropriate content. For example, the bidder named a contractor as the Adjudicator, which violates both procedural and ethical norms.



- Critical declarations were not completed: No confirmation regarding Bank's SEA/SH disqualification.
- The form for Pending Litigation and Litigation History was improperly filled, with check boxes left unmarked or marked incorrectly, thereby failing to present accurate legal standing.
- The form for Environmental and Social Past Performance also lacked proper responses and checkboxes were not selected in the correct fields, rendering the form invalid.

These combined deficiencies indicated a lack of responsiveness to key eligibility and compliance criteria.

4. Tibeb GC and WWC PLC JV with Lema Edae GC and WWC

- The Performance Security amount for Environmental and Social obligations (2%), as stated in the Letter of Bid, was incorrectly filled and below the required minimum, which violates ITB Clause 47.1.
- The section concerning commissions, gratuities, or fees was improperly completed with unnecessary or ambiguous information, instead of declaring "None" as required. This raised concerns about transparency and integrity in the bid submission.
- Such deviations from the bidding document's standard format rendered the bid non-compliant.

5. Akimu Construction Engineering WWC

- **The Letter of Bid** was altered, with changes to the original wording of the bidding form, which is a direct violation of ITB Clause 15.1 that requires submission of the unaltered standard forms.
- The bidder also failed to submit: The Government-Owned Entity Declaration and the United Nations Sanctions Declaration, required in Forms ELI 1.1 and ELI 1.2. These are essential to verify the legal and eligibility status of the firm under IFAD-financed procurement.
- Due to both form alterations and missing eligibility documents, the bid was classified as non-responsive.

The above-mentioned bidders failed to meet the minimum submission, eligibility, or procedural requirements outlined in the bidding documents. The non-compliances ranged from missing or improperly filled Letters of Bid, altered bidding formats, inadequate performance securities, to omitted mandatory declarations and eligibility forms.

As a result, all seven bidders were deemed non-responsive and were excluded from further consideration in the technical evaluation stage, in accordance with the IFAD procurement framework and the terms of the Bidding Documents.

The following **seventeen (17) bidders** found to have met all preliminary requirements and therefore advanced to the Technical Evaluation stage:



5.3. Technical Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Table 9: Lot-11(Wachuge) Technical Evaluation results

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name													
2.1	Financial Situation and Performance															
2.1.1	Access to Cash flow of the bidder (5,000,000 ETB)															
2.1.2	Audit report for the last 5 years(2020-2024 GC)															
	Average annual construction turnover: Minimum average annual construction turnover of ETB 20 million calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed within the last 5 years from (2020 – 2024 G.C) years, divided by 5 years.															
2.3	Average annual turnover: The average annual turnover calculated as total certified payments received for contracts completed within the last 5 years (from 2020 to 2024 G.C) must be 20 million birr. Bidders must present a letter of evidence and															
			Testaye Mostesa Gemeda GC-5													
			Pavillon GC & WWC-3													
			Meseret Mokonin WWC-3													
			Abdullaziz Umar Hoflusman WWC-3													
			SYG WWC PLC-4													
			KEFAD Construction PLC WWC-1													
			Awash Construction plc													
			Burka Gemetchu WWC-3													
			Kenbon Taye WWC-5													
			Phextros Martin JV Refish Trading													
			LIJO Construction													
			Abraham Dereje GC & GCWW-3													
			Fenet Gemeda WWC-3													
			Workneh Gizaw WWC-3													
			Kenbon Construction & Trading JV with Wak Construction Trading PLC WWC-5													
			Muluach Bekele JV with Yaman Con. Plc													
			Hilti Construction WWC-1 & GC-5													

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name														
	notification of Annual turnover letter issued by the required Ministry of Revenue tax payers branch office.		Testaye Masaleh Cement GC-5														
3	Experience																
3.1	General experience: Experience under construction contracts in the role of prime contractor, JV member, sub-contractor, or management contractor for at least the last 5 years, starting 1st January 2020. Specific construction and contract Management experience: The bidder must have successfully executed at least one contract in the last five (5) years (2020 to 2024 G.C.) with a minimum amount of 20 million ETB and must be substantially completed or two Contracts each at least 10 million ETB and total 20 million ETB of the two contracts. The Bidder has successfully Participated as prime local contractor in similar irrigation construction works of similar nature and complexity, such as		Pavilion GC & WWC-3														
			Misereet Mokonin WWC-3														
			Abdullahiz Umar Hoggassum WWC-3														
			SYG WWC PLC-4														
			KEFAD Construction PLC														
			WWC-1														
			Amash Construction plc														
			Borka Cement WWC-3														
			Kenyon Taye WWC-5														
			Phetres Masfin JV Relish Trading														
			1100 Construction														
			Abraham Dereje GC & GCWW-3														
			Fenet Gemeda WWC-3														
			Workneh Gizaw WWC-3														
			Kenyon Construction & Trading JV with Wab Construction														
			Trading PLC WWC-5														
			Muleneh Bekele JV with Yaman Co. Plc														
			Hill Construction WWC-1 & GC-5														



No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name																	
			Tesfaye Mostafa Gemeada GC-5	Pavilion GC & WWC-3	Meseret Mokein WWC-3	Abdullahaziz Umar Hopsman WWC-3	SYG WWC PLC-4	KEFAD Construction PLC WWC-1	Awash Construction plc	Baraka Gemechu WWC-3	Kenbon Taye WWC-5	Phoxiros Martin JV Relish Trading	LIPOO Construction	Abraham Derefe GC & GCWW-3	Feret Gemeada WWC-3	Workneh Gizaw WWC-3	Kenbon Construction & Trading JV with Wak Construction Trading PLC WWC-5	Muluach Bekete JV with Yafant Con. Plc	IIIII Construction WWC-1 & GC-5	
	irrigation system, Water supply, Drainage Or similar water related works,																			
	<p>Cost Break Down (Detailed Breakdown of prices)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of the basic prices for labour (converted into currency indicated in SCC)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic supply prices for materials and consumables (converted into currency indicated in SCC)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic hourly prices for equipment (converted into currency indicated in SCC)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of unit prices in the price schedule converted into currency indicated in SCC)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Detailed Breakdown of Site Costs (converted into currency indicated in SCC)</p>		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
5	General Construction Work methodology:																			
	a. Site Visit report and verification letter from the district		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		
	b. Work schedule Material Flow Master Schedule Human Resources Master Schedule		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name																
			Testaye Mosisa Gemeda GC-5	Pavilion GC & WWC-3	Meseret Mokonin WWC-3	Abdullahi Umar Hofusman WWC-3	SYG WWC PLC-4	KEFAB Construction PLC WWC-1	Awash Construction plc	Burka Gemeda WWC-3	Kenbon Taye WWC-5	Phetres Masfin JV Relish Trading	LIJO Construction	Abraham Dereje GC & GCWW-3	Fenet Gemeda WWC-3	Workech Glaw WWC-3	Kenbon Construction & Trading JV with Wak Construction Trading PLC WWC-5	Molaneh Bekele JV with Yatan Con. Plc	HHH Construction WWC-1 & GC-5
	Cash Flow Master Schedule		NR	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	
	Construction schedule methodology		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	C. Equipment Resources Master Schedule		NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR	R	R	
	Code of conduct: Environmental, social, health and safety (ESHS): Code of conduct: Environmental, social (including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) and gender-based violence (GBV), health and safety plans should be prepared with Matrix and submitted. This can be written by stating what are the social, health and environmental problems in connection with irrigation scheme construction and what are the possible remedies or protective measures to be undertaken		R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	
7	Profession Qualification	No																	
	1. Project Manager	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	2. Officer Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	
	3. Site Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	
	4. Construction Foreman	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	



No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name																
			Tetaye Mosha Gemeda GC-5	Pavilion GC & WWC-3	Meseret Mokonin WWC-3	Abdullaziz Umar Hoffusman WWC-3	SYG WWC PLC-4	KEFAB Construction PLC WWC-1	Amash Construction plc	Borka Gemechu WWC-3	Kenbon Taye WWC-5	Phetros Masfin JV Relish Trading	LDGO Construction	Abraham Derye GC & GCWW-3	Fenet Gemeda WWC-3	Workneh Gizew WWC-3	Kenbon Construction & Trading JV with Wab Construction Trading PLC WWC-5	Mahaneh Bekele JV with Yafni Coa. Plc	Hilt Construction WWC-1 & GC-5
	5. Surveyors	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	
	6. Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist	1	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	
	7. Social Specialist & Site Organizer	1	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	
8	Equipment Proposed for the work	No																	
	1.Chain Excavator (0.5m3 and above)	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	2.Dump truck (14m3 & above)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	3.Double Cabin 4WD(4 person /10 Quintal)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	4.Concrete mixer(250-500 liter capacity)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	5. Hand compactor	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	6.Vibrator	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	7.Dewatering pump	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	8.Surveying equipment,Total station	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
	9.Surveying equipment, Leveling	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
OVER ALL RESPONSIVENESS			NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit denoted by "NR" which stands for Non-

responsiveness

5.4. Remark on the Technical Evaluation

Following the detailed technical evaluation, the following twelve (12) bidders were disqualified for non-compliance with the technical requirements outlined in the bidding document. Specific justifications for each bidder's rejection are summarized below:

1) Tesfaye Mosisa

- The claimed **general and specific construction** experiences were inconsistent with the company's legally established date.
- Documents for **Average Annual Construction Turnover** and **Average Annual Turnover** submitted by the Ministry of Revenue were not aligned with the trade license year (2016 EC), further supporting indications of document tampering
- Key technical documents such as **Human Resource, Cash Flow, and Equipment** Master Schedule were not prepared or submitted using the required project software, violating submission protocols.

2) Pavillon GC and WWC

- The submitted **audit report** was issued by a private auditor lacking a valid trade license, making the report invalid.
- The Environmental, Social, Health, and Safety (ESHS) Code of Conduct was not submitted, a required compliance element.
- The Equipment Master Schedule was not prepared or submitted via project software.
- Key experts including the Environmental/Climate Safeguard Specialist, Social Specialist, and Site Organizer lacked valid professional licenses, rendering the team unqualified.

3) Meseret Mokonin WWC

- Audit report done by private auditor, which is not authorized auditor and became accountant this is not acceptable as per the requirement.
- **Unprofessional Scheduling:** Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule did not prepared and submitted using project software.

4) Kenbon Taye WWC

- **Private Auditor's License Issue:** The private auditor's lack of trade and professional license resulted to unacceptable outcomes.
- Code of conduct: - Environmental, Social, Health and Safety (ESHS) did not submitted.
- **Unprofessional Scheduling:** Material, Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule did not prepared and submitted using project software.

5) Phexiros Masfin JV with Relish Trading

- The bidder claimed project experience from a fictitious entity, "Engineering Corporation of Oromia", for the so-called Dodota SSIP, which amounts to fraudulent claims..



- **Unrealistic Turnover:** Average Annual construction turnover and annual turnover indicated information on letter from Ministry of Revenue Tax Payer Branch office did not coincided and unacceptable.
- **Private Auditor's License Issue:** The private auditor's lack of trade and professional license resulted to unacceptable outcomes.
- **Unprofessional Scheduling:** Material, Human Resource and Equipment Master Schedule did not prepared and submitted using project software.

6) IJOO Construction

- **Lack of Experience:** General and Specific Experiences non-compliance with company establishment.
- **Unrealistic Turnover:** The Average Annual Construction Turnover and Average Annual Turnover letters from the Ministry of Revenue Tax Payer Branch office reveal noncompliance with company establishments, indicating fraud and forgery.
- **Unprofessional Scheduling:** Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule did not prepared and submitted via project software.

7) Abraham Dereje GC & GCWW

- Material, Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule did not prepared and submitted via project software.
- **Audit Report Issue:** The audit report, which was prepared by a private auditor who was not an authorized auditor but instead became an accountant, is not acceptable according to the requirement.
- **Unsigned Expert CVs:** All CV of expert did not authorized by signature of company owner or representative.
- **Unlicensed Key Personnel:** Social Specialist and Site Organizer have not professional license.

8) Worknesh Gizaw WWC

- Average construction turnover and annual turnover did not meet the minimum requirement.
- **Technical Planning Issues:** Like many others, the implementation schedule was missing proper project software formatting in Material, Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule.
- **Unsigned Expert CVs :** All experts CV and project manager CV did not signed by experts themselves and by authorized company owner or representative.
- **Tax Payer Evidence Issue:** Tax Payer evidence letter and document of professionals and experts not submitted and justified from custom and revenue.
- **Technical Planning Issues:** Like many others, the implementation schedule was missing proper project software formatting in Material, Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule
- **Unlicensed Key Personnel:** The site organizer and social expert lacked valid licenses.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature



9) **Kenbon Construction & Trading JV with Wak Construction & Trading PLC**

- **Lack of Joint Venture Experience:** Wak Construction failed to present general experience independently, which is mandatory in a joint venture arrangement.
- **Technical Planning Issues:** Like many others, the implementation schedule was missing proper project software formatting in Material, Human Resource, Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule
- **Unlicensed Key Personnel:** The site organizer and social expert lacked valid licenses.

10) **Awash Construction PLC**

- **Missing Auditor Credentials:** The audit firm did not submit a valid license.
- **Company Turnover Unfulfilled:** Average turnover not met required amount.
- **Cash Flow Scheduling Issue:** No proper software-supported schedule for cash flow master schedule.

11) **Muluneh Bekele JV with Yatani Construction PLC**

- **Incomplete Financial Access Statement:** Only one JV partner submitted cash flow data, which is noncompliant—both parties should have done so collectively.
- **Unsigned Expert CVs:** Several professionals' CVs (e.g., Forman, Social Specialist and Organizer, Environmental, Climate and Safeguard Specialist) lacked the required company representative's countersignature.
- **Expired/Doctored Professional License:** One license (office engineer) was expired and appeared to be digitally altered, raising concerns about forgery.
- **Fake Contract Agreement:** The agreement between the company and its surveyor expert was valid for only one day, which is not acceptable under any professional arrangement.

12) **Hilif Construction WWC-1 & GC-5**

- The Letter of Bid was missing key information, including the proposed Adjudicator, as required under as per ITB BDS 49.1.
- Several mandatory fields in the Letter of Bid (e.g., #14) were left blank, despite clear instructions in as per ITB 9.4 requiring bidders to complete all forms. The failure to provide all essential information constitutes non-responsiveness.

[Handwritten signatures and marks]



5.6. Recommendation of the Evaluation

Accordingly, the evaluation team recommended that the lowest evaluated bid (preliminary, technical financial and Financial evaluation) successful bidder called Fenet Gemedi WWC-3 with the total price after arithmetic check of ETB 49,414, 892.65 (Forty Nine Million Four Hundred Fourteen Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety Two and 65/100).

Name & Signature of the Evaluation Committee