

3. Lot-1 (Oda Muda SSIP) Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

3.1. Preliminary Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Table 2: Preliminary evaluation result

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility								Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		(Overall Responsiveness	
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing withdrawal of the bid	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration Certificate	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance		Bank's SEA and/or SH disqualification
	Bas Solomon GC & WWC	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
	Bonsa GWWC	R	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	NR	NR
	Minyabul Telera GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
4	Tilahun Kifle GC	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
5	Equatorial Construction plc	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
6	Cosmos Engineering & Commerce plc	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR
7	Gashaw Bens WWC&HC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
8	Barkat Construction	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility										Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements		Overall Responsiveness
		Nationality	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nations Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	Suspension based on execution of bid securing withdrawal of the bid	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization or Registration Certificate	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 & above	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social(ES) Past Performance	Bank's SLA and /or SH disqualification		
9	Girma Tafesse GC& WWC	R	R	NR	R	NR	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	
10	Gerochu Admasu JV with Tedros Admasu	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR	NR	
11	Netriya Engineering plc	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
12	Girma Asfaw Building road & WWC JV with Arso WWC & GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
13	Isahak Abebe GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
14	Pavilion GC& WWC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
15	Wada Engineering	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
16	Zemenay Gashaye GC	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
17	Tadise Beshi WWC JV with DAD Construction & Trading	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit denoted by "NR" which stands for Non-responsiveness

responsiveness

3.2. Remark on Preliminary Evaluation

Based on the preliminary evaluation result the following eight (8) bidders could not succeed to the technical evaluation and became Non-responsive bids as well as are rejected at this stage due to the reasons below

1-Yonas Solomon GC & WWC

- **Letter of bid misrepresentation:** Bid the performance security in Letter of Bid Serial #7 altered, substituted, and not appropriately fulfilled, in accordance with ITB 47.1.
- **Letter of distortion:** On Letter of Bid #10, # 11 and #19 not incorporated, substituted and altered which contradicts as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.

2-FY Bensa GWWC-1

- **Letter of distortion:** The letter of bid altered, substituted, and modified, which contradicts as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- The provided bidder document not signed on all pages.

3-Tilahun Kifle GC

- Letter of Bid not fulfilled with necessary information and became blank and no response to the requirements for instant Performance security ES and Potential Adjudicator, which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and ITB 49.1 respectively.
- Not Submitted Government Owned Entity and United Nations Resolution by using required Bidding Forms ELI 1.1 & ELI 1.2 with attachment.
- Not Submitted History of non-performing contract, Litigation History and Pending Litigation and by using required Bidding Forms CON-2.

4-Girma Tafesse GC & WWC

- Letter of Bid altered, substituted and modified which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- Not Submitted Government Owned Entity and United Nations Resolution by using required Bidding Forms ELI 1.1 & ELI 1.2 with attachment
- Not Submitted History of non-performing contract, Litigation History and Pending Litigation and by using required Bidding Forms CON-2.

5-Gemechu Admasu JV with Tedros Admasu

- Letter of Bid altered, substituted and modified which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- Declaration: environmental and social (ES) Past Performance not submitted.

6-Girma Asfawu Road & WWC JV Jarso WWC & GC

- Letter of Bid #7 (performance security for Environmental and Social Security not fulfilled correctly with necessary information).
- The agreement for the Joint Venture was not made to the specific project location and did not comply with the original intent of the partnership."
- Not Submitted Litigation History by using required Bidding Forms CON-2.



7-Minyahil Tefera GC

- Letter of Bid not fulfilled with necessary information and became blank and no response to the requirements for instant Performance security ES and Potential Adjudicator, which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and ITB 49.1 respectively.

8- Cosmos Engineering & Commerce PLC

- Letter of Bid not fulfilled with necessary information and became blank and no response to the requirements for instant Performance security ES
- Letter of Bid #7 (performance security for Environmental and Social Security not fulfilled correctly with necessary information).
- The Letter of Bid was missing key information, including the proposed Adjudicator, as required under as per ITB BDS 49.1.

NB: The aforementioned **seven(7)** bidders were deemed non-responsive and excluded from the technical evaluation phase due to material deficiencies, unauthorized alterations of the bid template, omission of critical documents, and failure to comply with eligibility, legal and ES requirements as stipulated in the bidding documents.

The following **ten (10) bidders** found to have met all preliminary requirements and therefore advanced to the Technical Evaluation stage:

1. Gashaw Benti WWC & BC
2. Barkot Construction GC & WWC-1 GC & WWC-1
3. Nehmiya Engineering PLC
4. Wada Engineering
5. Zemenay Gashiye GC
6. Pavilion GC & WWC
7. Equatorial Construction PLC
8. Tadase Benti WWC JV with DAD Construction & Trading
9. Yishak Abebe GC

These firms further evaluated based on the Qualification and Evaluation Criteria outlined under Section III of the Bidding Document, focusing on experience, personnel, equipment, and methodology among others.

For King n2



3.3, Technical Evaluation and Qualification Criteria

Table 3: Lot-1 (Oda Muda) Technical Evaluation results

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name								
			Gashaw Benji WWC&BC	Barkot Construction	Nehruya Engineering PLC	Wada Engineering	Zemenay Gashiy GC	Pavilion GC & WWC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Tadase Banti JV WWC with DAD Construction and Trading PLC	Yisalak Abebe GC
2.1	Financial Situation and Performance										
2.1.1	Access to Cash flow of the bidder (15,000,000 ETB)		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
2.1.2	Audit report for the last 5 years(2020-2024 GC)		R	R	NR	R	NR	NR	R	R	R
2.2	Average annual construction turnover Minimum average annual construction turnover of ETB 75 million calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed within the last 5 years from (2020 – 2024 G.C.) years, divided by 5 years. Average annual turnover The average annual turnover calculated as total certified payments received for contracts completed within the last 5 years (from 2020 to 2024 G.C) must be 75 million birr. Bidders must present a letter of evidence and notifications of Annual turnover letter issued by the required Ministry of Revenue tax payment branch office.		R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	NR
3	Experience										
3.1	General experience: Experience under construction contracts in the role of prime contractor, JV member, sub-contractor, or management contractor for at least the last 5 years, starting 1st January 2020. Specific construction and contract Management experience: The bidder must have successfully executed at least one contract in the last five (5) years (2020 to 2024 G.C.) with a minimum amount of 100 million ETB and must be substantially completed or two Contracts each at least 50 million ETB and total 100 million ETB of the two contracts. The Bidder has successfully Participated as prime local contractor in similar irrigation construction works of similar nature and complexity, such as irrigation system, Water supply, Drainage Or similar water related works.		R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R
3.2			R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R

Bidder's Name

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Gashaw Benti WWC&BC	Barkot Construction	Nehmiya Engineering PLC	Wada Engineering	Zemenay Gashaye GC	Pavilion GC & WWC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Tadase Banti JV WWC with DAD Construction and Trading PLC	Yisak Abebe GC
	Cost Break Down (Detailed Breakdown of prices) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of the basic prices for labour (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic supply prices for materials and consumables (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic hourly prices for equipment (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of unit prices in the price schedule (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Detailed Breakdown of Site Costs (converted into currency indicated in SCC)		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
4	General Construction Work methodology: a. Site Visit report and verification letter from the district b. Work schedule Material Flow Master Schedule Human Resources Master Schedule Cash Flow Master Schedule Construction schedule methodology C. Equipment Resources Master Schedule		R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Code of conduct: Environmental, social, health and safety (ESHS): Code of conduct: Environmental, social (including sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA) and gender-based violence (GBV), health and safety plans should be prepared with Matrix and submitted. This can be written by stating what are the social, health and environmental problems in connection with irrigation scheme construction and what are the possible remedial or protective measures to be undertaken.		NR	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	NR	R
4			NR	R	R	R	NR	NR	R	NR	NR



Bidder's Name											
No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Gimbaw Bonti WWC&BC	Barkot Construction	Nchuniya Engineering PLC	Wada Engineering	Zemenay Gashaye GC	Pavilion GC & WWC	Equatorial Construction PLC	Tadise Banti JV WWC with DAD Construction and Trading PLC	Yishak Abebe GC
7	Professional Qualification	No.									
	1. Project Manager	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	2. Officer Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	3. Site Engineer	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	4. Construction Foreman	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	5. Surveyors	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	6. Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist	1	NR	R	R	R	R	NR	R	NR	NR
	7. Social Specialist & Site Organizer	1	NR	R	R	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR
8	Equipment Proposed for the work	No.									
	1.Chain Excavator (0.5m3 and above)	1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	2.Dump truck (14m3 & above)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	3.Double Cabin 4WD(4 person /10 Quintal)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	4. Concrete mixer(250-500 liter capacity)	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Hand compactor	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Vibrator	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Dewatering pump	2	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	8.Surveying equipment, Total station	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	9. Surveying equipment, Levelling	1 set	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	OVER ALL RESPONSIVENESS		NR	R	NR	NR	NR	NR	R	NR	NR

and that does not fit will be denoted as "NR" which stands for

Note: Bidders that fulfil the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit will be denoted as "NR" which stands for

Non-responsiveness

3.4. Remarks on Technical Evaluation

Following successful completion of the preliminary evaluation, bidders who met the basic eligibility and administrative requirements advanced to the technical evaluation stage. This stage carried out in accordance with the criteria outlined in Section III: Evaluation and Qualification Requirements of the Bidding Document. The purpose of the technical evaluation is to assess the bidders' qualifications, personnel, experience, and proposed methodology to determine their capacity to execute the works effectively and in compliance with the required standards.

Upon review, **seven (7) bidders** wound to be non-responsive at the technical stage due to major shortcomings in experience documentation, staffing, compliance with project-specific planning, and failure to adhere to key environmental and social safeguards. Below is a detailed justification for each:

1. Gashaw Benti WWC & BC

- **Professional Licensing:** The Social Specialist and Site Organizer lacked valid professional licenses. Additionally, the agreements between these experts and the company were neither signed nor time-bound, undermining the credibility of personnel commitment.
- **CV Authorization:** CVs for all key experts, including the Project Manager, were neither signed by the experts themselves nor endorsed by the company's authorized representative, violating bid form requirements.
- **Qualification Mismatch:** The Environmental, Climate, and Safeguard Specialist's academic background and professional credentials did not align with the required qualifications outlined in the bid document.
- **Code of Conduct:** The submitted Code of Conduct failed to include provisions on the prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (SEA) and Gender-Based Violence (GBV), which are essential under the World Bank's Environmental and Social Framework (ESF).
- **Work Planning:** The bidder did not submit the Material, Human Resource, Equipment, and Cash Flow Master Schedule via the required project management software, nor was a resource allocation sheet included.

2. Zemenay Gashaye GC

- **Invalid Financial Audit:** The private auditor's trade license was issued in 2023 (2016 EC), making the audit results for the period 2020–2024 legally invalid and unacceptable.
- **Turnover Requirement:** The average annual construction turnover, as stated in a confirmation letter from the Tax Office, failed to meet the minimum threshold required by the bidding document.



- **Master Schedules Missing:** No submission of Material, Equipment, Human Resource, or Cash Flow schedules through the designated project software; resource allocation sheet also missing.
- **Code of Conduct:** The Code of Conduct was not prepared under the bidder's official name, but rather under another company's name—raising concerns of authenticity.
- **Professional Licensing:** The Social Specialist and Site Organizer were not licensed professionals.
- **CV Format Non-Compliance:** CVs were not completed using the designated bidding forms and lacked necessary authorization by the company's representative.

3. Pavilion GC & WWC

- **Unacceptable Audit Report:** The audit report was conducted by a private auditor whose license had not been renewed, rendering the report invalid for evaluation purposes.
- **Missing ESHS Code of Conduct:** The bidder did not submit a Code of Conduct addressing Environmental, Social, Health, and Safety (ESHS) standards.
- **Incomplete Work Planning:** Required master schedules for resources were not prepared or submitted through the specified project software.
- **Unqualified Personnel:** Neither the Environmental and Social Specialist nor the Social Specialist and Site Organizer held the necessary professional licenses.

4. Wada Engineering PLC

- **Work Planning Deficiency:** Material, Equipment, Cash Flow, and Human Resource schedules were not submitted through the designated project software.
- **Personnel Qualifications:** The Social Specialist and Site Organizer lacked the required academic and professional credentials.
- **Incomplete Personnel Agreement:** The required Code of Conduct agreements between the bidder and all key experts were missing; only the Environmental and Social Specialist was covered.

5. Tadase Benti WWC JV with DAD Construction & Trading

- **Master Schedules Not Submitted:** Material, Equipment, and Human Resource schedules were not prepared in project software format and were entirely missing.
- **Missing Code of Conduct Agreements:** No evidence of executed agreements between the bidder and the proposed experts using the official bidding forms.
- **Unqualified Experts:** The Environmental and Social Specialist, as well as the Social Specialist and Site Organizer, lacked the necessary academic qualifications and valid licenses to fulfill their roles.



6. Yishak Abebe GC

- **Financial Ineligibility:** Both the average annual construction turnover and the overall annual turnover were below the minimum thresholds required.
- **Work Planning Deficiency:** No master schedules were prepared or submitted through project software.
- **Unqualified Personnel:** The Environmental and Social Specialist's qualifications did not align with the required standards; additionally, the Social Specialist and Site Organizer lacked professional licenses.

7. Nehmiya Engineering PLC

- **Lack of Experience:** The bidder submitted both general and specific construction experiences dated before the company's establishment, thereby not fulfilling the requirement.
- **Unsubstantiated Financial Turnover:** The turnover evidence provided by the bidder's supporting letter based on non-existent experience, lacking credibility.
- **Invalid Audit Report:** The audit report referenced the years 2012-2013 EC, which predated the formation of the company, making it invalid.

After comprehensive review, the evaluation team concluded that **only three (3) bidders** fully satisfied the technical evaluation requirements and considered responsive for progression to the financial evaluation stage. These bidders are:

1. Barkot Construction GC & WWC-I
2. Equatorial Construction PLC

These firms demonstrated acceptable financial soundness, qualified personnel, valid licensing, and comprehensive project planning in accordance with the bidding document requirements.

[Three handwritten signatures in blue ink]



[Handwritten signature in blue ink]

3.5. Financial Evaluation

Table 4: Lot-1(Oda Muda) Financial evaluation results

Following the completion of the financial evaluation in accordance with the World Bank procurement guidelines and the PACT project's procurement procedures, the recommended least evaluated bidder is identified as follows:

No	Name of the Contractors	Read out price				Price adjusted after arithmetic check		Rank
		With Out VAT	After Rabbet (%)	With VAT (15%)	With Out VAT	After Rabbet (%)	With VAT (15%)	
1	Barkot Construction	221,058,912.92		254,257,795.86	220,863,881.44		253,993,463.66	1 st
2	Equatorial Construction PLC	222,826,407	3%	248,562,857.23	234,277,441.27	3%	261,336,485.74	2 nd

The recommended bidder submitted a substantially responsive bid in the technical evaluation and passed all qualification requirements.

The evaluated bid price is determined after applying all necessary adjustments in accordance with the bidding documents.

[Handwritten signatures]



3.6. Recommendation of the Evaluation

Accordingly, **Barkot Construction GC & WWC-1** is the first least evaluated bidder and has been recommended for award with the evaluated bid price amount of **253,993,463.66 (Two hundred fifty-three million nine hundred ninety-three thousand four hundred sixty-three birr and sixty-six cents.)** inclusive of VAT.

4. Lot-4(Rorisa) Evaluation and qualification criteria

4.1 Preliminary evaluation and qualification criteria

Table 5: Preliminary evaluation result

No	Name of the Contractors	Eligibility								Verification							Completeness of Bid		Environmental/ Social requirements			Overall Responsiveness
		Nativeness	conflict of interest	Eligibility as per IFAD	Government Owned Entity	United Nation Resolution	History of non-performing contracts	suspension based on execution of bid securing declaration by Employer or withdrawal of the bid within bid validity	Pending litigation	Litigation History	Letter of Bid	VAT Registration Certificate	Valid Trade license or Business organization Registration	Valid Tax Clearance Certificate	Renewed for 2017 E.C WWC or GWWC or GC Grade 5 &	Bid Security	Declaration of Power of Attorney	Signed & sealed	No. of copy, technical & financial document	Declaration: environmental and social (ES) Pass Performance	Bank's SEA and /or SH disqualification	
1	Shoof Engineering QWWC-1 JV with Darbeto Tmesaho WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
2	Tinsa's Ashok Kumar WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
3	Sofamayas Getu Abebe WWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
4	Yabeon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
5	Walif Construction Share Company GC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	NR
6	Adugna Ijigu Horidofa GC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
7	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonary Degemass GWWC-3	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R

Note: Bidders that fulfill the criteria set granted "R" which stands for responsiveness and that does not fit denoted by "NR" which stands for Non-responsiveness

4.2. Remark on Preliminary evaluation

The preliminary evaluation carried out to assess the administrative compliance and responsiveness of bids based on the requirements stated in the Instructions to Bidders (ITB) and Section IV: Bidding Forms of the IFAD procurement document. Bids that failed to comply with these essential submission requirements considered non-responsive and disqualified from proceeding to the technical evaluation stage.

1-Sofaniyas Getu Abebe WWGC-3

- No Letter of Bid submitted according to IFAD tender document Section IV Bidding form and it altered, substituted and fulfilled with unnecessary information.
- Not Submitted on Letter of Bid Bank's SEA and/or SH disqualification.

2-Walif Construction Share Company GC-3

- Letter of Bid altered, substituted and modified which contradict as per ITB 15.1 and 49.1.
- Specifically the Letter of Bid #12, which addresses the disclosure of commissions, gratuities, or fees, completed with inappropriate or unnecessary information. The section intended to be marked "None" unless such payments actually made. Including irrelevant details not only violates the intended purpose of the clause but may also raise concerns of transparency and ethical compliance. Due to these inconsistencies and breaches of the bidding document provisions, the bid declared non-responsive and excluded from further evaluation.

The following **five (5) bidders** found to have met all preliminary requirements and therefore advanced to the Technical Evaluation stage:

[Handwritten signatures]



4.3. Technical Evaluation and qualification criteria
Table 6: Lot-4(Rorisa) Technical Evaluation results

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name				
			Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedefo Tenesho WWC-1	Tinsa'e Ashok Kumar WWGC-1	Adugna Ujiga Hordofa GC-3	Yabcon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degebas GWWC-3
2.1	Financial Situation and Performance						
2.1.1	Access to Cash flow of the bidder (6,000,000 ETB)		NR	R	R	R	R
2.1.2	Audit report for the last 5 years(2020-2024 GC)		NR	R	NR	R	R
2.2	Average annual construction turnover: Minimum average annual construction turnover of ETB 30 million calculated as total certified payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed within the last 5 years from (2020 – 2024 G.C) years, divided by 5 years.		NR	R	NR	R	R
	Average annual turnover: The average annual turnover calculated as total certified payments received for contracts completed within the last 5 years (from 2020 to 2024 G.C) must be 30 million birr. Bidders must present a letter of evidence and notifications of Annual turnover letter issued by the required Ministry of Revenue tax payers branch office.		R	R	NR	R	R
3	Experience						
3.1	General experience: Experience under construction contracts in the role of prime contractor, JV member, sub-contractor, or management contractor for at least the last 5 years, starting 1st January 2020.		R	R	NR	R	R



[Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink]

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name				
			Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedefo Tenesbo WWC-1	Tinsa'e Ashok Kumar WWGC-1	Adugna Ijiga Hordofa GC-3	Yabeon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degebass GWWC-3
3.2	<p>Specific construction and contract Management experience: The bidder must have successfully executed at least one contract in the last five (5) years (2020 to 2024 G.C.) with a minimum amount of 40 million ETB and must be substantially completed or two Contracts each at least 20 million ETB and total 40 million ETB of the two contracts. The Bidder has successfully Participated as prime local contractor in similar irrigation construction works of similar nature and complexity, such as irrigation system, Water supply, Drainage Or similar water related works.</p> <p>Cost Break Down (Detailed Breakdown of prices) Breakdown of the basic prices for labour (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic supply prices for materials and consumables (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of basic hourly prices for equipment (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breakdown of unit prices in the price schedule (converted into currency indicated in SCC) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Detailed Breakdown of Site Costs (converted into currency indicated in SCC)</p> <p>General Construction Work methodology: a. Site Visit report and verification letter from the district</p> <p>b. Work schedule Material Flow Master Schedule Human Resources Master Schedule Cash Flow Master Schedule Construction schedule methodology</p> <p>C. Equipment Resources Master Schedule</p>	NR	R	NR	R	R	
			R	R	R	R	R
			R	R	NR	NR	R
			R	R	NR	R	R
			R	R	R	R	R
			NR	R	NR	NR	R

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Number	Bidder's Name				
			Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedefo Teesho WWC-1	Tinsa'e Ashok Kumar WWGC-1	Adugna Ijiga Hordofa GC-3	Yabcon Construction & Engineering WWC-1	Oda Construction PLC GC-5 JV with Bonny Degbais GWWC-3
	6. Environmental, Climate & Safeguard Specialist	1	R	R	R	R	R
	7. Social Specialist & Site Organizer	1	R	R	R	NR	R
8.	Equipment Proposed for the work	No.					
	1.Chain Excavator (0.5m3 and above)	1	R	R	R	R	R
	2.Dump truck (1.4m3 & above)	2	R	R	R	R	R
	3 Double Cabin 4WD(4 person /10 Quintal)	2	R	R	R	R	R
	4.Concrete mixer(250-500 liter capacity)	2	R	R	R	R	R
	5. Hand compactor	2	R	R	R	R	R
	6.Vibrator	2	R	R	R	R	R
	7.Dewatering pump	2	R	R	R	R	R
	8.Surveying equipment, Total station	1 set	R	R	R	R	R
	9.Surveying equipment leveling	1 set	R	R	R	R	R
	OVER ALL RESPONSIVENESS		NR	R	NR	NR	R



Handwritten signatures in blue ink, including a large stylized signature and a smaller one below it.

4.4. Remarks on Technical evaluation

Following the preliminary assessment, technically compliant bids reviewed against the criteria outlined in Section III: Evaluation and Qualification Requirements of the Bidding Document. The objective of this phase is to determine whether bidders possess the technical, **work methods**, financial, and human resource capacity to successfully execute the proposed works.

After a detailed assessment, the following three (3) bidders were determined to be non-responsive at the technical evaluation stage due to significant deficiencies in documentation, qualifications, and non-compliance with submission formats. Detailed justifications provided below:

1) Shodeb Engineering GWWC-1 JV with Dedefo Tenesho WWC-1

- Financial Documentation Non-compliance: The required evidence of access to cash flow submitted only by one partner in the joint venture, rather than as a consolidated financial representation of all JV members, as stipulated in the bidding requirements. This undermines the financial assessment of the joint entity.
- Audit Reports: The audit reports for the years 2020–2024 GC were provided only by one JV member. However, under joint venture arrangements, financial statements must reflect the combined capacity of all partners. This partial submission renders the documents inadmissible.
- Experience Records: Similarly, the specific construction experience and contract management records submitted only for a single partner, not collectively for the JV. As per evaluation requirements, such experience must represent the combined capacity of all JV members. This oversight indicates non-compliance with core qualification criteria.
- Work Planning Deficiency: The Cash Flow and Equipment Master Schedule was not prepared or submitted using the prescribed project software, nor were the required planning and resource allocation tools included. This omission compromises the ability to evaluate the bidder's project readiness and resource planning.

Due to the absence of combined JV submissions for key financial and technical criteria, as well as missing work planning documentation, this bid deemed non-responsive at the technical stage.

2) Adugna Ijiga Hordofa GC-3

- Lack of Experience: The bidder failed to provide any valid evidence of general construction or specific project experience, which are essential prerequisites for demonstrating technical capacity.
- Fraudulent Financial Documentation: The audit reports, average annual turnover, and construction turnover submissions were determined to be non-existent and fabricated. These falsified records represent a serious violation of bidding ethics and procurement integrity.

