

GODANA KULU GC	Comply	Comply	Comply	Compl y	Comply	Accepted	Accepted for detail Evaluation
TYT CONSTRUCTION PLC	Not Comply	Comply	Comply	Compl y	Not Comply	Not Accepted	Not Accepted for detail
ABDULAHI DIMA BC	Not Comply	Comply	Comply	Compl y	Not Comply	Not Accepted	Not Accepted for detail
FIKER HABTE CONSTRUCTION	Not Comply	Not Comply	Comply	Compl y	Not Comply	Not Accepted	Not Accepted for detail

Name of Construction Firm : AFRO-SUN CONTRACTOR AND TRADING PLC

Reason for Rejection

- ✓ Construction license do not renewed(expired) and not valid for 2017 EC
- ✓ Letter of bid is altered
- ✓ Has no ESHS application and management plan

Name of Construction Firm : MASSIF GENERAL CONSTRUCTION PLC

Reason for Rejection

- ✓ Specific experience is not relevant
Agreement made between Bishoftu cities for construction of Dandama Bridge has a problem of date. The date written in GC and EC on the agreement is different (9 December 2023GC and (12/8/2015 EC), i.e this indicate inconsistence.
- ✓ The Agreement made with Asella city administration has no client signature and do not sealed.

Name of Construction Firm : GEMTA CONSTRUTION PLC

Reason for Rejection

- ✓ Has Non-performing contract case

According to internal memo dated 30/07/2017, this contractor has non-performing contract on Mechitu spate irrigation projects and bureau request for contract termination for their non-performing problems.



Name of Construction Firm : GINDEBERET GENERAL CONSTRUCTION PLC

Reason for Rejection

- ✓ Specific Experience is not relevant.

As per section III. Evaluation and Qualification criteria; a minimum specific experience requirement is Medium Span Bridge project. However contractor submit short span bridge for specific experience. The Total cost (amount) of specific experience submitted by contractor is less than the required amount of specific experience on bid documents.

- ✓ Therefore, both the requested similar experience and the project cost (amount) were below the requirement.

Name of Construction Firm: NARUCON CONSTRUCTION PLC

Reason for Rejection

- ✓ Specific Experience is not relevant.

As per section III. Evaluation and Qualification criteria; a minimum specific experience requirement is Medium Span Bridge project. However contractor submit short span bridge for specific experience. Therefore, the submitted similar experience were below the requirement

Corrections and Unconditional Discounts

Bidder (a)	Read-out Bid Price(s)		Corrections		Corrected Bid Price(s) $(f) = (c) + (d) - (e)$	Unconditional Discounts		Corrected/Discounted Bid Price(s)	Remark
	Currency(ies) b)	Amount(s) (c)	Computation al Errors ¹ (d)	Provisional Sum (e)		Per cent	Amount		
MALICHA HALAKE GC	ETB	32,000,000	1,048,914.26		30,951,085.74	-		30,951,085.74	2 nd
JERUSALEM MESFIN GC	ETB	30,967,411.60	-1,101,897.8		29,865,513.8	-		29,865,513.80	1 st
GODANA KULU GC	ETB	29,634,832.19	+4,181,957.43		33,816,789.62	-		33,816,789.62	3 rd

NB : JERUSALEM MESFIN GC is recommended for award with total birr 29,865,513.80

If you have any concerns or claim regarding the evaluation results of your bid, please submit your written claim to the Oromia Irrigation and Pastoralist Development Bureau, following the guidelines outlined in our bid request. All claims must be made within five (5) business days¹⁴ of receiving this

[Handwritten signature]
27/06/25

[Handwritten signature]

